## SUPPORTING RATIONALE:

When considering a variance request, Regional District staff will *generally* assess the proposal against the following criteria:

- is the proposed variance consistent with the general purpose and intent of the zone?
- is the proposed variance addressing a physical or legal constraint associated with the site (e.g., unusual parcel shape, topographical feature, statutory right-of-way, etc.)?
- is strict compliance with the zoning regulation unreasonable or un-necessary?
- will the proposed variance unduly impact the character of the streetscape or surrounding neighbourhood?

A request to change a zoning regulation should only be considered as a <u>last resort</u> to a design challenge. Please explain how the requested variance(s) meet the assessment criteria listed above:

VAMIANCE IS CONSISTENT WITH GENERAL MAROSE MINTENT OF ZONING

WE SIMPLY WANT A COVERGO FRONT

PECK AFTER WE ENCLOSE THE EXISTING

FRONT PONCIT

WE DONST BELIEVE THIS WILL IMPACT THE

STREETSCARE ON NEIGHBOUR HOOD