Riparian Area Protection Regulation (RAPR) Assessment Report For 4535 Mill Road, Naramata, BC



Prepared by:

VALLEY ENVIRONMENTAL

David Cassidy, BSc. R.P. Bio.

3265 Webber Road Westbank, BC V4T 1G3

Date July 28, 2023

I. Primary QEP Information

First Name	David Cassidy	Mic	ldle Name V	Villiam	
Last Name	Cassidy				
Designation	Biologist		Company Va	alley Environ	mental
Registration #	1556		Email david.	cassidy@sh	aw.ca
Address	3265 Webber Rd				
City	Westbank	Postal/Zip	V4T 1G3	Phone #	250-490-0161
Prov/state	BC	Country	Canada		

II. Secondary QEP Information

First Name	Middle Name				
Last Name					
Designation		Con	npany		
Registration #		Ema	ail		
Address					
City	Post	al/Zip	Phone #		
Prov/state	Cour	ntry			

II. Additional QEP Information

First Name			Middle Name	
Last Name				
Designation	Company			
Registration #	Email			
Address				
City		Postal		Phone #
Prov/state	Со	untry		

III. Developer Information

First Name	Rene	Middle N	ame	
Last Name	Doucette			
Company				
Phone #	250-490-5997		Email renedoucette	e@live.com
Address	167 Westview Drive			
City	Penticton	Postal/Zip	V2A 7V9	
Prov/state	BC	Country	Canada	

IV. Development Information

Development Type		Construction: Single Family Residential				
Area of Development (ha)		0.0116	Riparian Length	(m)	25	
Lot Area (ha)		0.03	Nature of Development	Re	-Development	
Proposed Start Date	2023	-11-15	Proposed End Date	2026	-12-31	

V. Location of Proposed Development

Street Address (or nea	4535 N	/ill Road						
Local Government	Regior	al Distri	ct of Oka	anagan-	City	Naramat	а	
	Similka	Similkameen						
Stream Name	Okana	Okanagan Lake						
Legal Description (PID)	010-694-463				Reg	ion Oka	nagan (8)
Stream/River Type	Lake				DFO A	rea BC I	nterior	
Watershed Code	310							
Latitude	49	36	27	Longitude	119	35	56	

Table of Contents for Assessment Report

Page Number

1. Description of Fisheries Resources Values 2. Results of Riparian Assessment (SPEA width) 3. Site Plan 4. Measures to Protect and Maintain the SPEA (detailed methodology only). Danger Trees..... 1. 2. Windthrow..... Slope Stability..... 3. 4. Protection of Trees..... 5. Encroachment Sediment and Erosion Control..... 6. 7. Floodplain..... 8. Stormwater Management..... 5. Environmental Monitoring 6. Photos

7. Assessment Report Professional Opinion

Section 1. Description of Fisheries Resources Values and a Description of the Development proposal

Okanagan Lake supports a wide variety of salmonid and non-salmonid fish species which include the following: re-introduced sockeye (*Oncorhynchus nerka*), kokanee (*O. nerka*), rainbow trout (*O. mykyss*), carp (*Cyprinus carpio*), largescale sucker (*Catostomus macrocheilus*), mountain whitefish (*Prosopium williamsoni*), lake whitefish (*Coregonus clupeaformis*), northern pikeminnow (formerly northern squawfish) (*Ptychocheilus oregonensis*), smallmouth bass (*M. dolomieui*), prickly sculpin (*Cottus asper*), burbot (*Lota lota*), chiselmouth (*Acrocheilus alutaceus*), redside shiner (*Richardsonius balteatus*), black bullhead (*Ameiurus melas*), lake chub (*Couesius plumbeus*), longnose sucker (*Catostomus catostomus*), pumpkinseed *Lepomis gibbosus*), pygmy whitefish (*Prosopium coulterii*), and peamouth chub (*Mylocheilus caucrinus*). The lake supports a sport fishery for smallmouth bass, kokanee and rainbow trout.

Okanagan Lake provides habitat for all life-history traits of the present salmonid and non-salmonid species. Specifically, rearing (summer and over-wintering) for kokanee and rainbow trout. The lake also provides spawning, incubation and rearing habitat for the non-salmonid species. Near shore habitat is almost entirely natural and consists of sand and small gravel substrate with moderate cover and vegetation (emergent and overhanging).

Much of native ecosystem surrounding the lake is ponderosa pine (*Pinnus ponderosa*)/bunchgrass (*Agropyron spicatum*). Water birch (*Betula occidentalis*), a bush-like tree and black cottonwoods (*Populus balsamifera*) are native species also found in the immediate area of the property. These two species make up many of the South Okanagan riparian woodlands. These native plant species exist in small pockets within the 30 meter assessment area. However, the majority of the area immediately surrounding the proposed development is previously developed with single-family homes. Invasive weed species exist on neighbouring lots due to previous disturbance of ground soil and removal of vegetation.

The subject lot has been extensively modified in the past and there is only a few native shrub species along the shoreline and the edges of the property. The property has been continuously manicured (mowed) and was/is used as an amenity/recreational property for camping, beach/swimming and boating use. Neighbouring properties have been highly modified and are primarily manicured beach and grassy areas.

Prior to this riparian assessment, several non-native hazard trees were removed (July 2022) under the RDOS's riparian / watercourse development permit exemption bylaw OCP Bylaw No. 2905, 2021, updated May 18, 2023 – Section 23.4.8 Exemptions (.7) Hazard Tree Removal.

The development is the removal of an existing cabin and the construction of a new home (107.8 m²), septic tanks (11.06 m²) and dry well (1.13 m²). A portion of the existing gravel driveway will remain. Total footprint of new structures is 113.45 m^2 .

Hardship Calculation/Determination

Developable Area (DA) = area of the lot outside of SPEA including natural, legal or local government restrictions such as building setbacks (front, rear, side yard etc.). **Total Potential Developable Area** (TPDA) = area of the lot regardless of SPEA including natural/legal restrictions which may include building setbacks. **Allowable Footprint** (AF) is the % (30% or 40%) of the TPDA.

Property is a Brownfield as historical human disturbance such as the existing cabin, shed, manicured grass, concrete blocks and other amenity areas on the property have removed >70% of the site potential vegetation (SPV). Therefore TPDA is 40% of AF.

Existing Lot:

DA = 0 m² (15 m riparian setback covers entire property)

TPDA = 290.28 m² (0 m building setbacks supported by RDOS & and section of titled property below the stream boundary subtracted from total lot size (Lot size – section of lot below stream boundary = $303.63 \text{ m}^2 - 13.42 \text{ m}^2$)... (See site plan)

AF = 0.4 x TPDA = 0.4 x 290.21 m² = 116.08 m²

DA < AF = hardship

Footprint Calculations:

Footprint of Existing Cabin to be removed = 10.05 m^2

Footprint of Proposed New Dwelling = 102.39 m2Footprint of Proposed Septic Tanks = 11.06 m^2 Footprint of Proposed Dry Well (under deck of house) = 0 m^2

Total Proposed Lot Coverage Under Hardship = 113.45 m² or 39.1%

In summary, the above calculation proves hardship status & the proposed development footprints are less than the 40% calculation for allowable footprint. In addition, the development is as far from the lake as possible and is contiguous with the foreshore of the lake.

The attached site plan details the proposed new development using the 40% hardship calculation.

Mitigation:

As part of a restoration plan, VE has proposed that a portion of the amenity space and manicured grass areas will be replaced with 26 native plants consisting of 2 trees and 24 shrubs (see Section 3: Vegetation Plan).

RDOS Support:

- a. a reduction in setback(s) is supported by Planning staff;
- b. that Section 23.3.6 Variances to Protect the SPEA under <u>Electoral Area "E" OCP</u> states: "The Regional District encourages Development Variance Permit (DVP) applications for the relaxation of zoning (parcel line) setbacks on existing small lots in order to reduce impacts and preserve the SPEA."
- c. that DVP application has been submitted to RDOS for approval.

2. Results of Detailed Riparian Assessment



Channel width and slope and Channel Type (use only if water body is a stream or a ditch, and only provide widths if a ditch)



Site Potential Vegetation Type (SPVT)



Litter fall and insect drop 15 m

ZOS (m)		7				
Shade ZOS (m) max	0 m (30 m)	South bank	Yes	No	х	
Ditch Justification de	scription for classify	ying as a ditch	(manmad	de,		
no significant headwaters or springs, seasonal flow)						
Ditch Fish Yes	No	If non-fish	n bearing i	insert no	fish	
Bearing		bea	ring statu	is report		
SPEA maximum 15 m	(For ditch u	se table3-7)				
Segment If t	wo sides of a strea	m involved, ea	ch side is	a separa	ite segme	nt. For all water
No:	bodies multiple	segments occ	ur where t	there are	multiple S	SPVT polygons
LWD, Bank and Channel						
Stability ZOS (m)						
Litter fall and insect drop						
20S (m)						-
Shade ZOS (m) max		South bank	Yes	No		
SPEA maximum	(For ditch use)	table3-7)				
		lable5-7)				
Segment If t	vo sides of a stream	m involved ea	ch side is	a separa	ite seame	nt For all water
No:	bodies multiple	segments occ	ur where t	there are	multiple S	SPVT polygons
LWD, Bank and Channel	· ·					1 70
Stability ZOS (m)						
Litter fall and insect drop						
ZOS (m)						
Shade ZOS (m) max		South bank	Yes	No		
SPEA maximum	(For ditch use	table3-7)				
T						
I, <u>David Cassidy</u> , hereby certify tha	t: profossional os dofias	hin the Dinarian A	roop Pogula	ation mode	undor the C	sh Protoction Act
b) I am gualified to carry out this	 a) I am a qualified environmental professional, as defined in the Riparian Areas Regulation made under the <i>Hish Protection Act</i>, b) I am gualified to carry out this part of the assessment of the development proposal made by the developer. Reper Doucette: 					
c) I have carried out an assessment of the development proposal and my assessment is set out in this Assessment Report; and						
d) In carrying out my assessment	d) In carrying out my assessment of the development proposal, I have followed the assessment methods set out in the Schedule to					
the Kiparian Areas Regulation.						

Comments

Section 3: Site Plan





Section 4. Measures to Protect and Maintain the SPEA

There is currently no construction proposed at this time however, below are some site specific measures that would be required for a single-family home on the child lot if construction were to ır

-	-	
n	C1	<u> </u>
v	Ś	

1 Danger Trees							
L David Cassidy, bereby certify that:							
a) I am a qualified environmental professional, as defined in the Riparian Areas Regulation made under the Fish Protection Act.							
I am qualified to carry out this part of the assessment of the development proposal made by the developer Rene Doucette;							
c) I have carried out an assessment of the c	I have carried out an assessment of the development proposal and my assessment is set out in this Assessment Report; and In						
carrying out my assessment of the develo the Riparian Areas Regulation	opment proposal, I have followed the assessment methods set out in the Schedule to						
2. Windthrow							
I, David Cassidy, hereby certify that:							
a. I am a qualified environmental profession	hal, as defined in the Riparian Areas Regulation made under the Fish Protection Act,						
b. I am qualified to carry out this part of the c c have carried out an assessment of the c	assessment of the development proposal made by the developer <u>Rene Doucette</u> , development proposal and my assessment is set out in this Assessment Report: and In						
carrying out my assessment of the develo	opment proposal, I have followed the assessment methods set out in the Schedule to						
the Riparian Areas Regulation							
3. Slope Stability							
I, David Cassidy, hereby certify that:							
a. I am a qualified environmental profession	hal, as defined in the Riparian Areas Regulation made under the Fish Protection Act,						
b. I am qualified to carry out this part of the	assessment of the development proposal made by the developer <u>Rene Doucette;</u>						
carrying out my assessment of the develo	popert proposal. I have followed the assessment methods set out in the Schedule to						
the Riparian Areas Regulation							
4. Protection of Trees							
I, David Cassidy, hereby certify that:							
a. I am a qualified environmental profession	hal, as defined in the Riparian Areas Regulation made under the Fish Protection Act;						
b. I am qualified to carry out this part of the	assessment of the development proposal made by the developer <u>Rene Doucette</u> ;						
c. I have carried out an assessment of the dovel	development proposal and my assessment is set out in this Assessment Report; and In						
the Riparian Areas Regulation	opinient proposal, i nave ronowed the assessment methods set out in the Schedule to						
5 Encroachment							
L David Cassidy, hereby certify that:							
a. I am a qualified environmental profession	nal, as defined in the Riparian Areas Regulation made under the Fish Protection Act,						
b. I am qualified to carry out this part of the	assessment of the development proposal made by the developer <u>Rene Doucette</u> ;						
c. I have carried out an assessment of the	development proposal and my assessment is set out in this Assessment Report; and In						
the Riparian Areas Regulation	ppment proposal, i have followed the assessment methods set out in the Schedule to						
6 Sediment and Erosion Control							
L David Cassidy, hereby certify that:							
a. I am a qualified environmental profession	al, as defined in the Riparian Areas Regulation made under the Fish Protection Act;						
b. I am qualified to carry out this part of the	assessment of the development proposal made by the developer Rene Doucette;						
c. I have carried out an assessment of the c	development proposal and my assessment is set out in this Assessment Report; and In						
carrying out my assessment of the develo	opment proposal, I have followed the assessment methods set out in the Schedule to						
7 Stormwater Management							
1. Stormwater Wallayement							
a. I am a qualified environmental profession	hal, as defined in the Riparian Areas Regulation made under the Fish Protection Act:						
b. I am qualified to carry out this part of the	b. I am qualified to carry out this part of the assessment of the development proposal made by the developer Rene Doucette:						
c. I have carried out an assessment of the c	development proposal and my assessment is set out in this Assessment Report; and In						
carrying out my assessment of the develo	opment proposal, I have followed the assessment methods set out in the Schedule to						
o. Floodplain Concerns (nignly							
MODILE CRANNEL)							
I, David Cassidy, nereby Certify that:	as defined in the Riparian Areas Regulation made under the Fish Protection Act						
b. I am gualified to carry out this part of the as	a. Fam a qualified to carry out this part of the assessment of the development proposal made by the developer. Reper Doucette						
c. I have carried out an assessment of the dev	c. I have carried out an assessment of the development proposal and my assessment is set out in this Assessment Report; and In						
carrying out my assessment of the developme	nt proposal, I have followed the assessment methods set out in the Schedule to the						
Riparian Areas Regulation							

- Danger Trees There are no hazard trees on the subject property or within the 15 m riparian setback. Hazard trees were recently removed as per the RDOS watercourse development permit exemption bylaws. The exemption notes that the removal of danger, infested or diseased trees within a riparian areas is exempt from a Watercourse Development Permit under the Electoral Area "E" Official Community Plan No. 2458, 2008, updated May 18, 2023 – Section 23.4.8 Exemptions (.7) Hazardous Tree Removal.
- 2) Windthrow There are no forests being removed therefore, no windthrow.
- 3) Slope stability The area of the development is on a flat surface. Slope stability is not anticipated to be an issue in the area of the development however, there is a steep slope across Mill Road. Both the slope and the subject property are within a geotechnical hazard zone according the RDOS mapping layer. A geotechnical assessment was completed by a Geotechnical engineer at Interior Testing Services Ltd. (see attached).

As there is no slope on the property and within the development, there are no slope stability concerns with regards to the proposed home development.

- 4) Tree Protection in the SPEA –The proposed development will not remove any existing trees and will not encroach on any existing tree root protection zones.
- 5) Encroachment in the SPEA As the proposed development is a hardship and most of the property is within the 15 m SPEA, there is proposed encroachment into the SPEA setback due to the demolition of the existing cabin and the construction of a new single-family home.
- 6) Sediment and erosion during construction Sediment delivery is not anticipated to be a significant problem as the areas of development are relatively flat. However, in an abundance of caution, a silt fence will be installed as shown in the site plan.
- 7) Stormwater Management Resulting stormwater will be directed to a rock pit.
- 8) VE has determined that the location of the active floodplain/stream boundary is located in the area noted in the site plan and ensures that the SPEA starts at the edge of this feature. VE determined that the active floodplain/stream boundary is located along the edge of the rock wall / edge of manicured grass area. The rock wall is intact and the area above and behind the wall has not eroded indicating that flooding with wave action is not commonly above and beyond the rock wall. Therefore, the stream channel at this location would not be considered dynamic such that the area above the wall is typically flooded. As such VE feels that the location of the stream boundary / active floodplain is sufficient in protecting the SPEA as well as the development.

The RDOS has a Floodplain Designation and Flood Construction Level within their Zoning Bylaw (2800, July 7, 2022) to protect structures: 10.1.2 d) *Okanagan Lake: 343.66 m Geodetic Survey of Canada (GSC) datum.*

Section 5. Environmental Monitoring

Monitoring will occur during the initial excavation stages of the proposed development to ensure protection of the identified SPEA setback. Following initial excavation for construction, monitoring will occur on a full time basis until the development is completed to ensure compliance and protection of existing riparian vegetation and near stream habitat. Each contractor involved with the proposed development will relay to their site workers the specific measures indicated in this document (Section 4), to protect and maintain the SPEA and riparian habitat. If the measures are breached site workers will immediately inform their foreman or supervisor who will in turn immediately contact the Environmental Monitor. In the absence of a foreman the site worker will contact the Environmental Monitor directly by phone. All work leading to the breach of the measures will stop immediately until consultation and approval from the Environmental Monitor. The environmental monitor or QEP for this development is David Cassidy of VE at 250-490-0161.

A post-development monitoring report will be prepared and filed with the Ministry of Environment following construction. A post vegetation planting report will also be prepared and filed with the local government.

Section 6. Photos



August 7, 2022 – View looking west and upstream along the stream boundary of Okanagan Lake adjacent to the subject property. Visible is the rock wall and manicured beach and lawn areas.



August 7, 2022 – View looking east and downstream along the stream boundary of Okanagan Lake adjacent to the subject property. Much of the riparian assessment area of adjacent properties contain a manicured amenity area. Much of the property has been historically used as a recreational property where the SPEA contains beach areas, manicured grass and gravel parking and picnic areas. Some native trees such as pines and cottonwoods are visible on adjacent properties however, understory species such as shrubs and grasses have been removed historically removed including the subject property.



August 7, 2022 – View looking north at the existing cabin area, manicured lawn and gravel areas. Much of the property has been modified and >70% of the natural site potential vegetation has been removed. The property has been used as an amenity space and recreational property. As such the property is currently and historically a brownfield.

Section 7. Professional Opinion

Qualified Environmental Professional opinion on the development proposal's riparian assessment.

Date July 28, 2023

1. I/We David Cassidy, RPBio.

hereby certify that:

- a) I am/We are qualified environmental professional(s), as defined in the Riparian Areas Protection Regulation made under the *Riparian Areas Protection Act*;
- b) I am/We are qualified to carry out the assessment of the proposal made by the developer <u>Rene Doucette</u>, which proposal is described in section 3 of this Assessment Report (the "development proposal"),
- c) I have/We have carried out an assessment of the development proposal and my/our assessment is set out in this Assessment Report; and
- d) In carrying out my/our assessment of the development proposal, I have/We have followed the specifications of the Riparian Areas Protection Regulation and assessment methodology set out in the minister's manual; AND

2. As qualified environmental professional(s), I/we hereby provide my/our professional opinion that:

- a) XXX the site of the proposed development is subject to undue hardship, (if applicable, indicate N/A otherwise) and
- b) the proposed development will meet the **riparian protection standard** if the development proceeds as proposed in the report and complies with the measures, if any, recommended in the report.

Recommended Native Plant Species for Riparian Fish and Wildlife Habitat in the Southern Interior - Okanagan

Deciduous Trees

Botanical Name

Acer glabrum var. douglasii Acer macrophyllum Populus balsamifera or P.trichocarpa

• Prunus virginiana Rhamnus purshiana Salix lucida ssp. lasiandra

Coniferous Trees

Botanical Name

Common Name

Pinus ponderosa Pseudotsuga menziesii Populus tremuloides

Shrubs

Botanical Name

Amelanchier alnifolia Cornus sericea or C. stolonifera Corylus cornuta var. californica Holodiscus discolor Physocarpus capitatus Prunus virginiana Rosa nutkana Rubus parviflorus Rubus spectabilis Salix lucida spp. lasiandra Salix scouleriana Salix sitchensis Sambucus caerulea or S. glauca Sambucus racemosa var. arborescens Sorbus sitchensis Symphoricarpos albus Vaccinium parvifolium

red-osier dogwood beaked hazelnut oceanspray Pacific ninebark choke cherry Nootka rose thimbleberry salmonberry Pacific willow Scouler's willow Sitka willow blue elderberry red elderberry Sitka mountain ash snowberrv

Grasses

Botanical Name

Achnatherum hymenoides Festuca idahoensis Festuca campestris Hesperostipa comate Koeleria macrantha Pseudoroegnaria spicatum Achnatherum hymenoides Poa secunda

Common Name

red huckleberry

great basin wild rye Idaho fescue rough fescue needle and thread grass junegrass bluebunch wheatgrass sand ricegrass sandberg's bluegrass

Common Name

- Douglas maple broadleaf maple black cottonwood choke cherry cascara Pacific willow
- ponderosa pine

Douglas-fir trembling aspen

Common Name

saskatoon