PROPERTY DESCRIPTION:	22 新加州和西西西省。1200年12	
Civic address: 4575 MILL ROAD NARAMATA BO		
Legal Description	SIMILKANGEN DV. OF YALE LAND DISTRICT EXCEPT PLAN 1444	
Lot: 25 Plan: KAP3889Block: - District Lot: 2// Section: Township:		
Current Zoning: SINGLE FAMILY OCP designat	tion: SINGLE FAMILY	
Current land use: SINGLE FAMILY		
Surrounding land uses: SINGLE FAMILY		
Current method of sewerage disposal: Community Sewer	Septic Tank Other	
Current method of water supply: Community Water	Well Other	
Any restrictive covenants registered on the subject property:		
Any registered easements or rights-of-ways over the subject property:	Yes (if yes, provide details) No	
Does the subject property possess a legal road access:	Yes No (if no, provide details)	
Agricultural Land Reserve: Yes No Riparian Area:	: Yes No	
Environmentally Sensitive: Yes No MoT Approva	I: No etbacks within 4.5 metres of a road reserve)	
Provide a description of the proposed development (please attach as a separate sheet, as required): 2 LOT BARK LAND STRATA		
REQUESTED VARIANCE(S):		
List all requested variances to the regulations in bylaws of the Regional District. Each variance should be marked on the applicable drawings. A variance cannot be considered where use or density would be affected.		
1. Bylaw (Include No.): 2000 Section: STAC	ET DIGHTING	
Proposed variance: WAI VE THE REQUIREMENT	FOR A STREET LIGHT	
OUTSIDE OUR HOUSE		
2. Bylaw (Include No.): Section:		
Proposed variance:		

SUPPORTING RATIONALE:		
All new development should meet the Regional District's applicable bylaw standards. A variance is considered only as a <u>last resort</u> . An application for a development variance permit should meet most, if not all, of the following criteria, in order to be considered for approval (please attach as a separate sheet, as required).		
1.	The variance should not defeat the intent of the bylaw standard or significantly depart from the planning principle or objective intended by the bylaw. Please elaborate how the requested variance meets this criteria:	
	THE CLOSEST STREET NGHT TO THE PROPERTY IS APPREX. 640 MGRES	
	AWAY, RDOS OPERATIONS AGREE THAT HAVING A SINGLE STREET	
	NEHT THAT DISTANCE FROM THE REST OF THE NETWORK DOES NOT	
	MAKE PRACTICAL SENSE.	
2.	The variance should not adversely affect adjacent or nearby properties or public lands. Please elaborate how the requested variance meets this criteria:	
	NOT REQUIRING A STREET LIGHT WILL BE A REDICTIT TO THE	
	NEIGHBOURING PROPERTIES,	
3.	The variance should be considered as a unique solution to an unusual situation or set of circumstances. Please elaborate how the requested variance meets this criteria:	
	THE PRACTICALITY OF NOT REQUIRING A STREET LIGHT HAS	
	BEEN COVERED BY HEARS 1,2,5	
4.	The variance represents the best solution for the proposed development after all other options have been considered. Please elaborate how the requested variance meets this criteria:	
	NON INSTALLATION OF A STREET LIGHT IS THE BEST	
	SOLUTION FOR THE NEIGHBOURHOUN AND FOR THE RDOS	
	OPERATIONS, AS EXPRESSED IN ITEM 1.	
5.	The variance should not negatively affect the natural site characteristics or environmental qualities of the property. Please elaborate how the requested variance meets this criteria:	
	COMPLIANCE WITH THE BYE LAW WILL AFFECT THE CHARACTERISTICS	
	AND JOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITIES OF THE PROPERTY A STREET LIGHT	
	IS IN APPROPRIATE FOR A COUNTRY LOCATION, AND WHICH WILL	
	SEPHOUSILY AFFECT THE ENJOYMENT + CLARITY OF THE NIGHT SKY	

IT WOULD ALSO AFFECT THE NEIGHBOUR ON THE UPSITUE OF THE ROAD.