PROPERTY DESCRIPTION:
Civic address: 960 CEOSK ST. OKANDADN FOUS.
Legal Description (e.g. Lot, Plan No. and District Lot):
LOT & DISTRICT PLAN FAPTIFIY
Current land use:
Surrounding land uses: (NIRTH) (NEST)  RESIDENTIAL, HIGHENAY, VACANT  (SOUTH)
(SOUTH)
REQUESTED VARIANCE(S):
List all requested variances to the regulations in bylaws of the Regional District. Each variance should be marked on the applicable drawings. A variance cannot be considered where use or density would be affected.
Zoning Bylaw: 2800
Section No.: 18.1.7(a)(V)
Current regulation: 4.5m  Proposed variance: REDUCE TO 2.4, M.
Section No.:  Current regulation:
Proposed variance:
DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION:
Please provide a general description of the proposed development:  (e.g. "to allow for an addition over an existing garage")
VACANT LOT PROPOSED TO BUILD.
32 Dun Houtes

## SUPPORTING RATIONALE:

When considering a variance request, Regional District staff will *generally* assess the proposal against the following criteria:

- is the proposed variance consistent with the general purpose and intent of the zone?
- is the proposed variance addressing a physical or legal constraint associated with the site (e.g., unusual parcel shape, topographical feature, statutory right-of-way, etc.)?
- is strict compliance with the zoning regulation unreasonable or un-necessary?
- will the proposed variance unduly impact the character of the streetscape or surrounding neighbourhood?

A request to change a zoning regulation should only be considered as a <u>last resort</u> to a design challenge. Please explain how the requested variance(s) meet the assessment criteria listed above:

Proposed Variance is consistent with the general purposed the zone, not a legal constraint, is anot on unreasonable regrest as reviewed by mot, and will improve the streetscape of surrounding neighborhood.