PROPERTY DESCRIPTION:

Civic address: 3102 30+h AVE, Osoyous BC

Legal Description (e.g. Lot, Plan No. and District Lot):

LOT A DISTRICT LOT 42 PLAN KAP 77333

Current land use:

RESIDENTIAL, FRUIT TREES

Surrounding land uses:

FRUIT TREES, GRAPES, RESIDENTIAL

REQUESTED VARIANCE(S):

List all requested variances to the regulations in bylaws of the Regional District. Each variance should be marked on the applicable drawings. A variance cannot be considered where use or density would be affected.

Zoning Bylaw:

5H4

Section No.: 15,4,5 Minimum SET BACKS

Current regulation: BUILDINGS + STRUCTURES

i) FRONT PARCELLINE 7.5 metres

<u>Proposed variance</u>:

2.31 metres

Section No.:

Current regulation:

Proposed variance:

DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION:

Please provide a general description of the proposed development:

(e.g. "to allow for an addition over an existing garage")

closing in existing carport.

current carport is 5.10 metres from parcelline

Need variance for existing carport @ 5,19m

SUPPORTING RATIONALE:

When considering a variance request, Regional District staff will generally assess the proposal against the following criteria:

- is the proposed variance consistent with the general purpose and intent of the zone?
- is the proposed variance addressing a physical or legal constraint associated with the site (e.g., unusual parcel shape, topographical feature, statutory right-of-way, etc.)?
- is strict compliance with the zoning regulation unreasonable or un-necessary?
- will the proposed variance unduly impact the character of the streetscape or surrounding neighbourhood?

A request to change a zoning regulation should only be considered as a last resort to a design challenge. Please explain how the requested variance(s) meet the assessment criteria listed above:

- Existing CARPORT Built years ago. 1974 - IT'S 2.31m too close to parcelline. - IT'S BEEN THIS WAY SINCE 1974 ISH.

- CLOSING THIS CARPORT IN WILL NOT CHANGE FOOTPRINT NOR DENSITY, NOR AFFECT ANYTHING IN THE AREA.