PROPERTY DESCRIPTION: Civic address: 1-8410 120th ave V0H 1V0 Legal Description (e.g. Lot, Plan No. and District Lot): Lot 1 Plan EPS 2860 District 2450 PID 029774080 Current land use: Low density residential RS3 Surrounding land uses:

AG1, SH3, RS3

REQUESTED VARIANCE(S):

List all requested variances to the regulations in bylaws of the Regional District. Each variance should be marked on the applicable drawings. A variance cannot be considered where use or density would be affected.

16.3.5 Minimum Setbacks **Zoning Bylaw:**

Section No.: 16.3.5 (2)(3)

2)Rear parcel line 7.5 metres **Current regulation:**

Rear parcel line 1.5 meters **Proposed variance:**

Section No.:

Current regulation:

Proposed variance:

DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION:

Please provide a general description of the proposed development: (e.g. "to allow for an addition over an existing garage")

We are requesting a variance on Lot 1, The lot is a panhandle shape sided by AG1 on both sides. The south west property line is on a steep slope and requires a retaining wall and we can not get to 1.5 meters to the property line. We are asking for a relaxation on the South East property line as we get squeezed by zoning on the South East side and the steep slope on the South West side.

SUPPORTING RATIONALE:

When considering a variance request, Regional District staff will *generally* assess the proposal against the following criteria:

- is the proposed variance consistent with the general purpose and intent of the zone?
- is the proposed variance addressing a physical or legal constraint associated with the site (e.g., unusual parcel shape, topographical feature, statutory right-of-way, etc.)?
- is strict compliance with the zoning regulation unreasonable or un-necessary?
- will the proposed variance unduly impact the character of the streetscape or surrounding neighbourhood?

A request to change a zoning regulation should only be considered as a <u>last resort</u> to a design challenge. Please explain how the requested variance(s) meet the assessment criteria listed above:

Requesting this variance will not change the streetscape or affect other properties. Strict compliance with the zoning regulation is unreasonable as the south east side is limited by a steep slope and can not be built to 1.5 m and the south west side has a current by law of 7.5 M inconsistent with adjacent properties. Please consider the odd shape of the property with a hammerhead driveway steep slope and the SPEA line.