PROPERTY DESCRIPTION:					
Civic address 5411 31st Osoyo	os B C				
Legal Description					
Lot: 24 Plan: KAP4351 B	llock: Distr	rict Lot: 43 223	Section: SDYD T	ownship: Osoyoos	
Current Zoning: AG1 OCP designation: AG					
Current land use: Residential					
Surrounding land uses: Residential and Agriculture					
Current method of sewerage disposal: Community Sewer			Septic Tank	Other	
Current method of water supply: Community Water			Well	✓ Other	
Any restrictive covenants registered on the subject property:			ide details) 🔽 No		
Any registered easements or rights-of-ways over the subject property:				ide details) 🔽 No	
				(if no, provide details)	
Agricultural Land Reserve:	Yes No	Riparian Area:	☐ Yes ✓] No	
Environmentally Sensitive:	Yes No	MoT Approval: (required for setba	Yes Z	No f a road reserve)	
Provide a description of the proposed development (please attach as a separate sheet, as required): Retaining wall.					
REQUESTED VARIANCE(S):	4.14			at and the modes of on	
List all requested variances to the regulations in bylaws of the Regional District. Each variance should be marked on the applicable drawings. A variance cannot be considered where use or density would be affected.					
1. Bylaw (Include No.): 2800 Section: 6.12.4					
Proposed variance: Hight of retaining wall from 1.2 meters to 2.74 meters.					
2. Bylaw (Include No.): Proposed variance:		ection:			
Proposed variance.					

Page 2 of 4

All ont	new development should meet the Regional District's applicable bylaw standards. A variance is considered y as a <u>last resort</u> . An application for a development variance permit should meet most, if not all, of the owing criteria, in order to be considered for approval (please attach as a separate sheet, as required).
1.	The variance should not defeat the intent of the bylaw standard or significantly depart from the planning principle or objective intended by the bylaw. Please elaborate how the requested variance meets this criteria:
	Redi rock wall, visualy pleasing and not ugly, on the west side of the house
	Not blocking any views or change of the natural lay of the land. Built for a safe walk
	out from the side door.
2.	The variance should not adversely affect adjacent or nearby properties or public lands. Please elaborate how the requested variance meets this criteria:
	The retaining wall does not affect any adjacent property or public lands, it is
	well with in our lot and away from set backs. My family is the land owners on the one
	Property line that we share and my sister is my other neighbour that will see the wall.
3.	The variance should be considered as a unique solution to an unusual situation or set of circumstances. Please elaborate how the requested variance meets this criteria:
	The retaining wall was the only solution to provide a safe walk out from the door
	of the master suite. It also was a must to provide safe windows in the basment living
	room for the safest exit in an emergency, rather than a window well.
4.	The variance represents the best solution for the proposed development after all other options have been considered. Please elaborate how the requested variance meets this criteria: This meets the criteria because if the land was left with the existing slope it would cause
	more natural erosion and potential damage to the structure over the years. And
	with no safe exit point from the Master bedroom door.
5.	The variance should not negatively affect the natural site characteristics or environmental qualities of the property. Please elaborate how the requested variance meets this criteria: The retaining wall supports the natural land characteristics by tappering down on the
	west side following the natural slope. It is also lower than the previous land hight prior
	to excavating the site for the house to the final grade.