



Environmental Assessment for Proposed Strata Subdivision at 1750 Highway 3, Regional District of Okanagan-Similkameen

Presented To:

Steinar Johnsen

Dated:

November 20, 2020

Ecora File No.:



THIS PAGE IS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



Presented To:



Steinar Johnsen 103, 2450 Radiotower Road Oliver, BC

Prepared by:

November 20, 2020

Scott Layber, R.P.Bio Biologist scott.layber@ecora.ca

Reviewed by:

Adam Patterson, R.P.Bio. Senior Biologist adam.patterson@ecora.ca

November 20, 2020 Date

Date

Version Control and Revision History

Version	Date	Prepared By	Reviewed By	Notes/Revisions
0	20 NOV 2020	SL	AP	final



Executive Summary

Ecora Engineering & Resource Group Ltd. (Ecora) was retained by Steinar Johnsen (the Proponent) to complete an environmental assessment (EA) for proposed re-zoning and subdivision at a privately held parcel at 1750 Highway 3, near Osoyoos (hereafter referred to as 'the Property'), within the Regional District of Okanagan-Similkameen (RDOS). The approximately 12.53 ha Property is legally described as Lot 15, Plan 21789, Sublot 2, DL 2709, SDYD, except Plan KAP90322 (see Property Location Figure 1.0). The Property overlaps the Environmentally Sensitive Development Permit Area (DPA) and is currently zoned as LH1 (Large Holdings), as described in the RDOS Electoral Area 'A' Osoyoos Rural Official Community Plan (OCP) Bylaw 2450 (2008) and the recent Draft Bylaw 2905 (2020). The Draft 2020 OCP describes new Watercourse DPA that include areas that overlap a 30 m setback from the High Water Mark (HWM) of a stream, which includes Bourguiba Creek along the southern boundary of the Property.

The RDOS DPA guidelines and Terms of Reference (TOR) for Professional Reports for Planning Services (2008) requires that the Proponent have an environmental assessment completed by a Qualified Environmental Professional (QEP) to address proposed land use changes within a DPA, as defined in the OCP. The Proponent had Ecora prepare an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) report in January 2015 to address the construction of a single-family residence, driveway access, and installation of utilities. Ecora issued an addendum to the EA in March 2016. RDOS issued ESDP No. 2014.132-ESDP in June 2016.

The approximately 12.6 ha (31 acres) Property occurs along Highway 3, east of the Town of Osoyoos. The Property is surrounded by natural (undeveloped), rural residential, and agricultural properties, and is bordered by the highway corridor along the western boundary. Following ESDP No. 2014.132-ESDP being issued in 2016, work was completed on the road access and residence, including clearing, grading, and blasting. The ESDP allowed for construction of the single-family residence with outdoor pool, as well as the access roadway and servicing, including storm, sanitary sewer, and other utilities. The access road will become a future strata roadway, pending approval of the re-zone and subdivision. Works are ongoing, with additional grading and blasting being conducted at the time of writing. Construction of the residence has not yet commenced.

The proposed development includes subdividing the Property into six Strata Lots (SL), with one of the lots (SL 5) incorporating the existing building permitted under ESDP No. 2014.132-ESDP (Appendix A). Another one of the lots (SL 6) will be designated as a Conservation Area, subject to a covenant limiting future development. This would amount to a proposed approximately 5.6 ha dedicated to conservation, or approximately 45% of the Property. The terms of registering the covenant have yet to be defined at the time of writing, although it is intended to become the responsibility of the strata. Each of the five developable SL will be around 1 ha in size and each includes a flat, bench area suitable for a future single-family dwelling within an area previously identified as Low to Moderate sensitivity, based on the ESA analysis (Ecora 2015; Ecora 2016).

The proposed development at this stage is subdivision only and therefore there is no development footprint to consider. The single family residence associated with SL 5 and the access roadway are all being constructed under the terms and conditions of the existing ESDP and following the recommendations of that report, including site restoration. It is understood that following re-zone and subdivision, each of the future SL will be developed with a single-family residence, driveway, septic system, and landscaping. The potential spatial extents and timing of development is not known at this time. As such, each new development may trigger the RDOS ESDP process at the time of development. In each case, site specific measures can be implemented to ensure the development is consistent with the recommendations made in the original EA, this EA, and ESDP guidelines.

Overall, the Property is considered a suitable location for the proposed re-zone and subdivision from an environmental perspective as the additional 4 residences (besides the single-family residence already permitted) will occur within relatively lower ecologically valuable areas and maintain the majority of the natural setting (i.e., cluster development style). The proposed SL 6 will be dedicated to conservation and represents almost half of the Property area, including almost all of the designated ESA 2 areas. As such, the contribution to cumulative



local and regional impacts is considered negligible, as long as the higher value rock outcrop, shrub-steppe, and riparian habitats are maintained or enhanced and mitigation practices are followed, as described below. Overall, the proposed development is considered reasonable for the Property for the following reasons:

- The 12.6 ha Property is roughly comprised of 2.8 ha of ESA 2 (22%), and 9.8 ha of ESA 3 (78%).
- Approximately 5.6 ha (SL 6) will be dedicated to conservation which represents 44% of the total Property area and includes 2.3 ha (82%) of the ESA 2 present within the Property.
- The proposed dedication of SL 6 representing almost half of the Property area to conservation will help avoid impacts to the ESA 2 areas and other sensitive features and will ensure effective protection of those environmental values in perpetuity.
- The proposed re-zone appears to be suitable for the Property based on the Regional Growth Strategy and support from the Area Director (pers. comm. with Proponent).
- The proposed subdivision density appears to be generally consistent with other development in the area, including at higher elevations along HWY 3 and nearby residential development along the west-facing slopes of Anarchist Mountain.
- The Proposed subdivision is compliant with the Riparian Areas Protection Regulation (RAPR) and does not result in conflicts with the proposed setback (i.e., SPEA and/or RAA).
- Ecora has been retained to provide environmental monitoring services under the current ESDP and will continue to monitor during future works.
- The current access roadway works avoid impacts to breeding birds and other wildlife by completing construction activities outside of the breeding bird window (i.e., September to March). Ecora confirmed that the bank swallow colony was vacant prior to works being undertaken in 2020.
- The future upgrades to the access roadway to meet the MOTI standards will be done under the direction of the Ecora geotechnical engineer and the QEP to ensure that the finished cut bank results in a similar spatial area of vertical exposed silt which will ensure a no-net-loss to available bank swallow habitat. Ecora will consult with CWS to ensure their biologists are in agreement with the proposed design and intended result.
- The current works being done under the ESDP will follow all the restoration guidelines provided in the original EA (2015) as well as the recommendations below. Restoration will be applied to all cut/fill slopes and other temporarily disturbed areas with topsoil, hydroseed, and native plantings, as required.
- Future development in each Strata Lot will follow the form and character guidelines developed by the Strata and will include low-maintenance landscaping (i.e., low water use, heat, and drought tolerant plants), native plants, efficient homes, and other features to reduce impacts to the environment

As long as future development is conducted following the mitigation and recommendations provided in this report and adhering to the conditions of the ESDP and other pertinent legislations, regulations, and BMPs, the potential for adverse environmental impacts on environmentally sensitive areas will be appropriately mitigated. Additional site specific Environmental Management Plans (EMP) may be implemented as development plans for each lot are proposed or at the time of construction to address the RDOS ESDP guidelines, as required.



Limitations of Report

This report and its contents are intended for the sole use of Steinar Johnsen (Proponent) and their agents. Ecora Engineering & Resource Group Ltd. (Ecora) does not accept any responsibility for the accuracy of any data, analyses, or recommendations contained or referenced in the report when the report is used or relied upon by any Party other than the Proponent, or for any Project other than the proposed development at the subject site. Any such unauthorized use of this report is at the sole risk of the user.

Where Ecora submits both electronic file and hard copy versions of reports, drawings and other projectrelated documents, only the signed and/or sealed versions shall be considered final and legally binding. The original signed and/or sealed version archived by Ecora shall be deemed to be the original for the Project. Both electronic file and hard copy versions of Ecora's deliverables shall not, under any circumstances, no matter who owns or uses them, be altered by any party except Ecora.



Table of Contents

1.	Intro	oductior	٦	1
	1.1	Scope of	of Work	1
	1.2	Study A	\rea	2
	1.3	Propose	ed Development	2
2.	Site	Reviev	v and Methods	
	2.1	Regulat	tory Overview	3
	2.2	Informa	tion Sources	4
	2.3	Terrest	rial Ecosystem Mapping	5
	2.4	Species	s and Ecosystems of Conservation Concern	6
	2.5	Environ	mentally Sensitive Areas	6
3.	Env	ironmeı	ntal Assessment	7
	3.1	Ecosyst	tem Communities	7
		3.1.1	Terrestrial Ecosystem Mapping (TEM)	7
	3.2	Vegetat	tion	8
		3.2.1	Shrubland Ecosystems	9
		3.2.2	Riparian Ecosystems	
		3.2.3	Sparsely Vegetated Ecosystems	
		3.2.4	Disturbed Areas	
	3.3	Wildlife	and Habitat Observations	12
		3.3.1	Species and Ecosystems at Risk	
	3.4	Aquatic	Habitats and Riparian Areas Assessment	15
	3.5	Environ	mentally Sensitive Areas	15
4.	Imp	act Ass	essment	
	4.1	Environ	mental Effects	17
	4.2	Impact	Summary	17
5.	Mitig	gation a	and Recommendations	
	5.1	Environ	mental Monitoring	
	5.2	Reduce	ed Risk Timing Windows	
		5.2.1	Birds	
		5.2.2	Aquatic Resources	
		5.2.3	Reptiles and Amphibians	



5.3	Site Preparation	21
5.4	Plants and Ecosystems	21
5.5	Wildlife and Species at Risk	22
5.6	Aquatic Resources	22
5.7	Erosion and Sediment Control	23
5.8	Equipment Maintenance and Fueling	24
5.9	Emergency Spill/Response	25
5.10	Noxious Weed Control	26
5.11	Site Cleanup and Restoration	27
Reference	S	

List of Tables in Text

Table 2.1	Federal and Provincial Legislation Applicable to the Project	4
Table 3.1	Summary of mapped ecological communities within the Property	8
Table 3.2	Summary of sensitive ecosystem inventory mapping within the Property	8
Table 3.3	Known Public Occurrences within 1 km of the approximate centre of the Property	14
Table 3.4	Environmentally Sensitive Area Coverage within the Property	15

Appendix Sections

Figures

Figure 1.0	Property Location
Figure 2.0	Terrestrial Ecosystem Mapping/Sensitive Ecosystem Inventory
Figure 3.0	Known CDC Element Occurrences
Figure 4.0	Biodiversity Conservation Strategy
Figure 5.0	Environmentally Sensitive Areas
Figure 6.0	Impact Assessment Overview

Appendices

Appendix A	Site Layout
Appendix B	Conservation Data Centre Query Results



Acronyms and Abbreviations

- ALR Agricultural Land Reserve
- BEC Biogeoclimatic Ecosystem Classification
- BMP Best Management Practices
- BLUE LIST any ecological community, and indigenous species and subspecies considered to be of special concern (formerly vulnerable) in British Columbia. Elements are of special concern because of characteristics that make them particularly sensitive to human activities or natural events. Bluelisted elements are at risk, but are not Extirpated, Endangered or Threatened (http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/atrisk/red-blue.htm)
- CDC BC Conservation Data Centre
- CH Critical Habitat
- COSEWIC Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada
- CWS Canadian Wildlife Service (Environment Canada)
- DPA Development Permit Area
- EA Environmental Assessment
- EIA Environmental Impact Assessment
- EM Environmental Monitor
- EMP Environmental Management Plan
- ESA Environmentally Sensitive Area
- ESCP Erosion and Sediment Control Plan
- ESDP Environmentally Sensitive Development Permit
- HWM High Water Mark
- MFLNRO Ministry of Forests, Lands, and Natural Resource Operations
- MOTI Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure
- MSDS Materials Safety Data Sheets
- OCP Official Community Plan
- QEP Qualified Environmental Professional
- RAA Riparian Assessment Area
- RAPR Riparian Areas Protection Regulation
- RDOS Regional District Okanagan Similkameen



- RED LIST any ecological community, and indigenous species and subspecies that is extirpated, endangered, or threatened in British Columbia. Extirpated elements no longer exist in the wild in British Columbia, but do occur elsewhere. Endangered elements are facing imminent extirpation or extinction. Threatened elements are likely to become endangered if limiting factors are not reversed. Red-listed species and subspecies may be legally designated as, or may be considered candidates for legal designation as Extirpated, Endangered or Threatened under the Wildlife Act (<u>http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/atrisk/red-blue.htm</u>)
- R.P.Bio Registered Professional Biologist
- SARA Species at Risk Act
- SEI Sensitive Ecosystem Inventory
- SER Sensitive Ecosystem Rank
- SL Strata Lot
- SPEA Streamside Protection and Enhancement Area
- TEM Terrestrial Ecosystem Mapping
- TOR Terms of Reference
- WSA Water Sustainability Act
- WSI Wildlife Species Inventory



iv

1. Introduction

Ecora Engineering & Resource Group Ltd. (Ecora) was retained by Steinar Johnsen (the Proponent) to complete an environmental assessment (EA) for proposed re-zoning and subdivision at a privately held parcel at 1750 Highway 3, near Osoyoos (hereafter referred to as 'the Property'), within the Regional District of Okanagan-Similkameen (RDOS). The approximately 12.53 ha Property is legally described as Lot 15, Plan 21789, Sublot 2, DL 2709, SDYD, except Plan KAP90322 (see Property Location Figure 1.0). The Property overlaps the Environmentally Sensitive Development Permit Area (DPA) and is currently zoned as LH1 (Large Holdings), as described in the RDOS Electoral Area 'A' Osoyoos Rural Official Community Plan (OCP) Bylaw 2450 (2008) and the recent Draft Bylaw 2905 (2020). The Draft 2020 OCP describes new Watercourse DPA that include areas that overlap a 30 m setback from the High Water Mark (HWM) of a stream, which includes Bourguiba Creek along the southern boundary of the Property.

The RDOS DPA guidelines and Terms of Reference (TOR) for Professional Reports for Planning Services (2008) requires that the Proponent have an environmental assessment completed by a Qualified Environmental Professional (QEP) to address proposed land use changes within a DPA, as defined in the OCP. The Proponent had Ecora prepare an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) report in January 2015 to address the construction of a single-family residence, driveway access, and installation of utilities. Ecora issued an addendum to the EA in March 2016. RDOS issued ESDP No. 2014.132-ESDP in June 2016.

This report includes a review of the site's existing biophysical resources at the local level to document the predevelopment site conditions and assesses the potential development-related impacts. Where adverse impacts cannot be fully avoided through project design, the methodology and/or timing of development activities, mitigation measures are recommended to minimize the potential for, and severity of the adverse effects along with enhancement opportunities for the identified feature values. This report provides a summary of the environmental assessment, including desktop review, biophysical inventory, ecosystem mapping, environmentally sensitive area (ESA) ranking, and impact assessment and analysis.

1.1 Scope of Work

The environmental assessment is based on the following scope of work:

- Review existing publicly available land parcel information and previous environmental assessment results, including the terms and conditions of the ESDP No. 2014.132-ESDP.
- Complete a desktop assessment of the Property, including reviews of previous biophysical assessments and inventories, publicly available ecosystem mapping and inventory data, federally designated Critical Habitat, and other online resources to identify potential and known species and ecosystems at risk occurrences.
- Complete biophysical inventory and site assessment of the Property, including identification of existing terrestrial, riparian, and aquatic values, and the presence of unique or important wildlife species and/or habitat features.
- Complete a review and/or update to the previously completed terrestrial ecosystem mapping, ESA classification, and conservation areas, based upon the identified ecological values.



- Conduct Riparian Area Protection Regulation (RAPR) assessment to determine setbacks from identified streams, as described in the RAPR and verify that the proposed layout and development plans will conform to the setbacks prescribed in the RAPR assessment.
- Conduct an impact assessment, including review of the proposed site plan, including lot and road layout, site grading, and servicing (stormwater, sewer, water, etc.), in consideration of the identified environmental values and sensitive areas (ESA).
- Prepare a report and mapping deliverables to incorporate into the development permit application, mitigation planning and design of the proposed development within the Property and support the rezoning and DP application.
- RAPR assessment report and Water Sustainability Act (WSA) Section 11 applications for works associated with stream crossings or storm sewer outfalls will be submitted to the respective provincial regulators separately.

1.2 Study Area

The approximately 12.5 ha (31 acres) Property occurs along Highway 3, east of the Town of Osoyoos. The Property is currently zoned as Large Holdings (LH1) and is outside of the ALR. The Property is surrounded by natural (undeveloped) areas to the north and east with rural residential and agricultural properties occurring to the west. The Property is bordered by the highway corridor along the western boundary. Following ESDP No. 2014.132-ESDP being issued in 2016, work was completed on the road access and residence, including clearing, grading, and blasting. The ESDP allowed for construction of the single-family residence with outdoor pool, as well as the access roadway and servicing, including storm, sanitary sewer, and other utilities. The access road will become a future strata roadway, pending approval of the re-zone and subdivision. Works are ongoing, with additional grading and blasting being conducted at the time of writing. Construction of the residence has not yet commenced.

The Property occurs within the Okanagan Very Dry Hot Bunchgrass Variant (BGxh1) which is defined by the Biogeoclimatic Ecosystem Classification (BEC) program (Lloyd et al. 1990). The BGxh1 zone generally occurs between 250 to 200 m above sea level. The BG zone has the hottest and driest zone in British Columbia, and the BGxh1 zone typically has less extreme winter weather than the similar BGxh2 variant, occurring in the Thompson Valley.

The Property is generally characterized by gently sloping to steeper gullies, which continue westwards down towards Highway 3. The gullies, including Bourguiba Creek along the south boundary of the Property, discharge to Haynes Creek which drains into Osoyoos Lake. Potential important habitat and habitat features for a range of sensitive wildlife species, including wildlife trees and rare ecosystem communities, occur throughout the Property. Site and soil disturbance and degradation occurs in association with the ongoing work on the house pad and driveway.

1.3 Proposed Development

The proposed development includes subdividing the Property into six Strata Lots (SL), with one of the lots (SL 5) incorporating the existing building permitted under ESDP No. 2014.132-ESDP (Appendix A). Another one of the lots (SL 6) will be designated as a Conservation Area, subject to a covenant limiting future development. This would amount to a proposed approximately 5.6 ha dedicated to conservation, or approximately 45% of the Property. The terms of registering the covenant have yet to be defined at the time of writing, although it is intended to become the responsibility of the strata. Each of the five developable SL will be around 1 ha in size



and each includes a flat, bench area suitable for a future single-family dwelling within an area previously identified as Low to Moderate sensitivity, based on the ESA analysis (Ecora 2015; Ecora 2016). Development plans for these lots will be addressed in future phases in consultation with RDOS and may require subsequent assessment and/or ESDP applications.

The proposed SL will be accessed from Highway 3 by a common driveway, initiated under ESDP No. 2014.132-ESDP. Access has been designed to meet Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MOTI) standards, including the turning radius required at the intersection with the highway and a "jug-handle" constructed along the opposite side of the highway to allow for safe access to the property by east-bound travellers. Another common driveway would stub off the main access driveway for SL 1 and 2. Stormwater along the main access driveway will be in a ditch, with culverts and infiltration tanks facilitating drainage off of the Property. At this time there is no proposed direct discharge of surface waters to Bourguiba Creek and no new outfall is proposed. The design of the stormwater system is currently under development at the time of writing, but will be designed to MOTI standards, with culverts and outfall structures permitted as required under the WSA and associated regulations.

Water for the proposed strata will be obtained from wells and sewer will be provided by septic systems on each proposed SL. The Proponent has also indicated that a 10,000 gallon water tank will be maintained on the proposed SL 5 (the lot where the Proponent is currently building a residence under the original ESDP). The tank will be used to supply water to fire hydrants along the strata roadway and ensure recommended fire protection measures are met.

The general construction and development-related activities associated with the current phase of the subdivision include:

- Continuation of site preparation along the driveway and SL 5 house footprint, including vegetation clearing, stripping, and grubbing (completed under the existing ESDP);
- Upgrades to the access driveway to meet MOTI standards, including grading, cuts, fills, and retaining structures; and
- Completion of restoration activities as required, including slope stabilization / re-contouring, grass seeding, planting of native species, and habitat enhancements.

Current design drawings showing the proposed extents of work, including cuts, fills, retaining walls, ditches, culverts, and other features necessary to provide equipment and vehicle access are provided as Appendix A. Additional detailed designs for the stormwater system, as well as assessments completed by other professionals (i.e., civil engineers, geotechnical engineers) will be provided under separate cover and not included with this report.

2. Site Review and Methods

The following section provides a summary of the regulatory context and sources of information used during the completion of the assessment.

2.1 Regulatory Overview

The environmental assessment requirements are outlined in the RDOS DPA guidelines and Terms of Reference (TOR) for Professional Reports for Planning Services (2008). The overarching legislation that pertains to the proposed developments includes the federal and provincial acts identified in Table 2.1.



Jurisdiction	Applicable Legislation	Agency	Summary	
	Wildlife Act; Wildlife Amendment Act	Ministry of Environment	Protection of wildlife and wildlife habitats including the protection of raptors, owls, herons and nests during nesting periods.	
	Water Sustainability Act	Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations	Ensures protection of water quality, quantity and riparian habitat for works in about a stream.	
	Riparian Areas Protection Act	Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations	Protects riparian areas during development by ensuring that a Qualified Environmental Professional (QEP) conducts a science- based assessment of proposed activities	
Provincial	Environmental Management Act	Ministry of Environment	Prohibits causing pollution by regulating the discharge or emissions of contaminants or waste and requires spill reporting regulations.	
	Local Government Act	Ministry of Environment	Provides local governments with a legal framework and foundation to represent the interests and acknowledge the needs of their communities.	
	Land Act	Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations	Protection and conservation of any land owned by the Province such as foreshore and the beds of lakes, rivers and streams.	
Federal	Fisheries Act	Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO)	Section 35 for the management through the conservation and protection of fish and fish habitat.	
	Migratory Birds Convention Act	Environment Canada (Canadian Wildlife Service)	Prevents capturing, injuring, killing or disturbing migratory birds as well as damaging, destroying, removing or disturbing their nests.	
	Species at Risk Act	Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO), Environment Canada (EC)	Provides legal protection of wildlife and their habitats designated under Schedule 1.	

Table 2.1 Federal	and Provincial	Legislation	Applicable	to the Project
-------------------	----------------	-------------	------------	----------------

Other relevant regulations include municipal bylaws and policies, as described in the OCP, and regional Best Management Practices (BMP).

2.2 Information Sources

Information sources used in the desktop assessment and background review of the Property include:

- RDOS Electoral Area A OCP (Bylaw No. 2450) and associated ESDP guidelines;
- South Okanagan Reginal Growth Strategy Bylaw No. 2770, 2017;
- RDOS Terms of Reference (TOR) for Professional Reports (2008);
- RDOS Public Parcel Viewer (accessed November 12, 2020);
- ALR Property and Map Finder (accessed November 12, 2020);



- Ecora memo to RDOS entitled 'Re: Osoyoos Lot 15 site assessment of environmentally sensitive areas' (June 2012);
- Ecora memo to RDOS entitled 'Re: Osoyoos Lot 15 Riparian Areas Regulation Assessment' (July 2012);
- Ecora Environmental Impact Assessment Report Lot 15, Osoyoos, B.C. (January 2015);
- Ecora Environmental Assessment for Osoyoos Property Lot 15 Addendum 1 (January 2016);
- RDOS ESDP No. 2014.132-ESDP (issued June 9, 2016);
- Ecora Geotechnical Report 'Preliminary Geotechnical Assessment Relating to Construction of the Proposed Development at Lot 15, Plan 21789, Highway 3, Osoyoos, BC' Ecora File No.: PE-13-177-JOH (December 2016);
- Ecora Geotechnical Report 'Geotechnical Assessment Report for the Proposed Development at 1750 Highway 3, Osoyoos, BC' Ecora File No.: 201589 (November 2020);
- CWS memo to RDOS entitled 'Re: Amendment of the Electoral Area "A" OCP Bylaw No. 2450, 2008, & Zoning Bylaw No. 2451, 2008' (June 12, 2020);
- Conservation Data Centre Species and Ecosystems Explorer (accessed November 12, 2020);
- Okanagan Habitat Atlas web application (accessed November 13, 2020);
- iMapBC web application (accessed November 13, 2020);
- Environment Canada (Canadian Wildlife Service) Critical Habitat Mapping for Species At Risk; and
- Provincial Best Management Practices (BMP), including Develop with Care (2014) and Keeping Nature in Our Future: A Biodiversity Conservation Strategy for the South Okanagan Similkameen (2012).

2.3 Terrestrial Ecosystem Mapping

Terrestrial Ecosystem Mapping (TEM) is a landscape level inventory of ecological communities. Built upon the provincial BEC framework, TEM 'polygons' represent homogeneous areas of the landscape that support relatively consistent vegetation communities and that are the result of local topography (slope gradient and landscape position) and are subject to similar climate and soil development processes. In an ideal situation, a single ecosystem label will describe the conditions in the entire polygon; however, as landscape complexity typically results in microsites with differences in soil moisture and nutrient availability, most TEM polygons will contain more than one unique ecosystem and hence requires a complex label. TEM scales vary depending on the project size and requirements, although mapping at a scale of 1:5,000 or larger is typically utilized to provide a baseline inventory for smaller environmental inventories and detailed assessments of environmental effects.

Existing ecosystem mapping by Iverson and Haney, completed in 2005 covers the Property. Given the smaller scale at which their mapping was conducted, the individual identified ecosystem polygons are fewer, larger and less suitable for assessing environmentally sensitive areas at the scale required for development planning. Similarly, a Sensitive Ecosystem Inventory (SEI) was completed by Iverson and Haney in 2010 and updated in 2012 to provide an inventory of the rare ecosystems and wildlife habitat throughout the south Okanagan (Iverson and Haney 2012). The objective of this process was to serve as a platform upon which to develop local land use plans and to ensure the effective stewardship of private lands.



As part of the initial EA completed by Ecora, the Ecosystem Polygons were reviewed, and adapted if needed. The ecosystem polygons for this update mapping were reviewed and updated from the original polygons to reflect site conditions as they exist at the time of writing. Polygons were delineated and attributed by a Professional Biologist with extensive experience mapping and describing terrestrial ecosystems throughout BC, including the southern Okanagan. The protocol for ecosystem delineation was conducted in accordance with the Standard for Terrestrial Ecosystem Mapping in BC (Resources Inventory Committee (RIC) 1998). Mapping was conducted using ArcGIS v.10, and the data was subsequently cleaned and validated to ensure there were no remaining errors in topology and/or ecosystem database codes and attributes.

The terrestrial ecosystem site series codes and map labels were developed through assessment of and reference to the following documents:

- Standard for Terrestrial Ecosystem Mapping in BC (RIC 1998)
- A Guide to Site Identification and Interpretation for the Kamloops Forest Region. Land Management Handbook 23 (Lloyd et al. 1990)
- Site Classification for 52 Biogeoclimatic Units in the Southern Interior Forest Region (Lloyd et al. 2005; Draft)
- Provincial Site Series & Map Code List (available at: http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/ecology/tem/list.html)

Coding of the ecosystems and associated map labels is consistent with previous mapping, and with mapping completed during the initial assessment.

2.4 Species and Ecosystems of Conservation Concern

The Okanagan Habitat Atlas and Habitat Wizard web applications were queried for sensitive environmental occurrences and areas of conservation concern. Species at risk are determined using the provincial and national ranking systems. The provincial system applies to species that have been assessed by the BC Conservation Data Centre Species and Ecosystems Explorer (CDC) and are categorized as Yellow (Not Considered At Risk), Blue (Of special concern), or Red (Endangered or Threatened).

The national ranking system applies to species that have been assessed by the Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC). Queries were run to identify all known sensitive plant, ecosystem and animal occurrence records using the CDC data and the Wildlife Species Inventory (WSI) data. Mapped critical habitats were searched using the Canadian Wildlife Service (CWS) database.

2.5 Environmentally Sensitive Areas

The Environmentally Sensitive Area (ESA) values determined during the initial EA were reviewed for comparison to current conditions. The RDOS Terms of Reference (TOR) and other local government policies were used to define a four-class Environmentally Sensitive Area (ESA) ranking system. Factors considered during the ESA review include provincial status (i.e., Red or Blue-listed), rare and endangered species occurrence and/or potential, general landscape condition (i.e., degradation, disturbance, isolation, connectivity, fragmentation), successional stage, regional rarity, relative biodiversity, and professional judgment,. Figure 5.0 depicts the ESA mapping within the Property. The four classes of ESA classification are described below:

• ESA 1 (High) – areas that provide significant local and/or provincial environmental value, due to the presence of rare physical features, rare ecosystems, or rare plants and animals. These areas represent



habitat of great importance to the functioning of natural ecosystems and may include habitat of critical importance to wildlife. Various types of habitat will qualify as ESA 1 based on sensitivity, vulnerability, connectivity and biodiversity. For example, all wetlands, rare plant communities, and habitat for rare animal species have high value. Avoidance and conservation of ESA-1 designations is the primary objective.

- ESA 2 (Moderate) areas that provide significant local and/or provincial environmental value, including ecosystems that are uncommon and important for rare plants and wildlife. These areas contain physical features, plants, animals and habitat characteristics that contribute towards the overall diversity and contiguous nature of the surrounding natural features. These will include sensitive ecosystems, as refined according to the ESA stratification criteria for the scale of mapping. They also include areas used to buffer ecological functions of high value (ESA 1) ecosystems. ESA 2 designations should be avoided, but if development is pursued, portions of the habitat must be retained and integrated to maintain the contiguous nature of the landscape.
- ESA 3 (Low) areas that may contain important features or remnant stands/sites with ecological value but are of low to moderate conservation value and considered neither locally nor regionally rare. Areas mapped as ESA 3 may be in a stage of succession that provides limited value to local wildlife species and expresses a level of previous disturbance.
- ESA 4 (Not Sensitive) areas that contribute little or no value to the overall diversity of vegetation, soils, terrain and wildlife characteristics of the area. Areas mapped as ESA 4 typically include heavily disturbed ecosystems, previously cleared areas (gravel pits, exposed soil, etc.) and areas of existing infrastructure such as roads.

3. Environmental Assessment

Ecora completed site assessments to assess ecosystems, vegetation, and wildlife habitat features on April 26th and June 5th, 2012 by former Ecora staff members Dan Bernier R.P.Bio., Robyn Lauman R.P.Bio., and Mitchell Grant, TFT. The results of the 2012 site visits were used to prepare the previous EA. A site visit and meeting with the Proponent was conducted by Ecora on June 3, 2020 by Ecora biologist Adam Patterson, R.P.Bio. The site visit was conducted to assess any changes to the condition of the Property from the conditions observed in 2012. Follow-up visits were completed by Adam Patterson, R.P.Bio on August 20 and September 24, 2020 to further review the site and conduct environmental monitoring during the approved works on the access roadway. This section describes the methods used in the inventory and field assessments.

3.1 Ecosystem Communities

The following sections describe the results of the terrestrial ecosystem mapping and subsequent definition of environmentally sensitive areas.

3.1.1 Terrestrial Ecosystem Mapping (TEM)

The Property was delineated into 4 discrete ecosystem polygons, which were refined and re-drawn for the Property based on the results of the original EA and the existing site conditions, with emphasis on capturing changes in slope position and gradient, soil nutrient and moisture regime, and vegetation community structure and composition (Figure 2).



A total of 3 vegetated ecological communities and one non-vegetated community were identified within the Property as shown in **Error! Reference source not found.** (Figure 2).

Map Code	Site Series	Site Series Name	Provincial Status ¹
SW	01	Big sagebrush – bluebunch wheatgrass	Red
SB	03	Selaginella – bluebunch wheatgrass	Yellow
AS	00	Trembling aspen - snowberry	Red
RZ	-	Roadway	-

 Table 3.1
 Summary of mapped ecological communities within the Property

1 Source: http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/cdc/

Blue: Of special concern. Red: Endangered or threatened.

Two of the ecosystems (SW, AS) are Red-listed in BC, endangered or threatened, given the rarity of these ecosystem within the local climate, and their importance for uncommon and rare wildlife species. Selaginella – bluebunch wheatgrass ecosystem is Yellow-listed in BC and is considered to be not-at-risk. The Road (RZ) community is a result of anthropogenic activity and is considered of relatively low habitat value and not sensitive to development.

A total of 5 sensitive ecosystem and one non-sensitive ecosystem classes were identified within the property. The sensitive ecosystem classifications identified are defined in Table 3.2 (Figure 2)

Table 3.2	Summary	of sensitive	ecosystem	inventory	mapping	within	the F	Property
-----------	---------	--------------	-----------	-----------	---------	--------	-------	----------

SEI Code	SEI sub-code	Site Series Name
GR	dg	Grassland – disturbed grassland
GR	st	Grassland – steep grassland
RI	gu	Riparian - Gully
SV	ro	Sparsely Vegetated – rock outcrops
NS	-	Not Sensitive

The Property is primarily dominated by Grassland ecosystem types with disturbed grassland occurring on the western portion of the Property, and steep grassland present on the slopes towards the east. The sparsely vegetated ecosystem type is present throughout the property in pockets of naturally exposed soils and rock outcrops. The riparian ecosystem type occurs along Bourguiba Creek and is present in a small portion of the south corner of the Property.

The Property has been designated as a High Conservation Value (Figure 3), based on the at-risk status of ecosystem communities present within the Property, wildlife habitat values, and the ecological sensitivity of the inventoried ecosystems (SOSCP 2012).

3.2 Vegetation

The Property is generally in a natural state with the exception of the current development occurring within the driveway corridor. The vegetation present within the property is typical of a dry sagebrush steppe, primarily consisting of shrubland with pockets of sparse vegetation and rock outcrops. Within the gullies, vegetation communities are more typical of moister soils, and distinct from the drier shrubland community. Trees are sparse within the Property, with some standing wildlife trees observed during the 2020 site visit, as well as documented in the previous EA.



The vegetation descriptions below are summarized from the previous EA and the 2020 site visits and are not intended to form an exhaustive inventory of plant species throughout the Property. Targeted rare plant surveys were not conducted. It is recognized that highly suitable habitat exists, especially within and adjacent to the riparian and wetland ecosystems. As currently proposed, the development largely avoids these sensitive elements of the Property.

3.2.1 Shrubland Ecosystems

The property is predominately ecosystems typical of a sagebrush steppe. Big sagebrush (*Artemisia tridentata*) with cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum*) is the dominant vegetation present, with sparse ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa*) trees growing throughout and bluebunch wheatgrass (*Pseudoregneria spicatum*), common rabbitbrush (*Ericameria nauseosus*), antelope-brush (*Purshia tridentata*) arrow-leaf balsamroot (*Balsamorhiza sagittata*), brittle prickly-pear cactus (*Opuntia fragilis*), slender hawksbeard (*Crepis atribarba*) thread-leaved phacelia (*Phacelia linearis*), yarrow (*Achillea millefolium*), long-leaved phlox (*Phlox longifolia*), silky lupine (*Lupinus sericeus*), snow buckwheat (*Eriogonum niveum*), pussytoes (*Anennaria spp.*), junegrass (*Keleria macrantha*), and fescues (*Festuca spp.*) observed during surveys of the Property.

The shrubland communities showed evidence of colonization of invasive species, with cheatgrass commonly occurring throughout the property, and other weedy species, such as tumble-mustard (*Sisymbrium* spp.), and hound's tongue (*Cynoglossum officinale*) observed within the Property.

While antelope-brush occurs within the Property, and antelope-brush dominated ecosystem communities have been documented in proximity to the Property, there is no defined antelope-brush steppe ecosystems present as the antelope-brush present in the property is sporadic and relatively sparse in abundance.



Photo 3.1 View of the typical sagebrush steppe habitats throughout the Property and within proposed future conservation area within SL 6 (June 3, 2020)



3.2.2 Riparian Ecosystems

Bourguiba Creek runs to the south of the Property, and adjacent to the driveway access from Highway 3. Riparian ecosystems are generally limited to the Bourguiba Creek gully. The gullies running through the property show evidence of moisture, however there were no distinct riparian communities within the gullies observed during the visits to the Property.

The Bourguiba Creek riparian area has an established community of black cottonwood (*Populus balsamifera trichocarpa*), trembling aspen (*Populus tremuloides*), water birch (*Betula occidentalis*), and willow species (*Salix spp.*). Other species observed within the riparian area of Bourguiba Creek include roses (*Rosa spp.*), arrow-leaved coltsfoot (*Petasites sagittatus*), tall Oregon-grape (*Mahonia aquifolium*), poison ivy (*Toxicodendron rydbergii*), Douglas maple (*Acer douglasii*), and rosey twistedstalk (*Streptopus roseus*). Within the gullies in the Property, vegetation observed was similar to the shrubland ecosystems, with mock orange (*Philadelphus lewisii*) and cliff ferns (*Woodsia spp.*), trembling aspen, and common snowberry (*Symphoricarpus albus*) occurring in patches.



Photo 3.2 View of the riparian community along Bourguiba Creek as viewed from the southern boundary of the Property (September 24, 2020)

3.2.3 Sparsely Vegetated Ecosystems

Rocky outcrops and areas of exposed silty soils are present in patches throughout the Property. These areas provide habitat for unique plant species not occurring elsewhere in the Property including selaginella (*Selaginella spp.*), haircap mosses (*Polystichum spp.*), and bitterroot (*Lewisia rediviva*). Ponderosa pine, grasses such as bluebunch wheatgrass, fescues, and cheatgrass, and cacti also occur within these sparsely vegetated areas.





Photo 3.4 View of the patches of rock outcrop features identified as ESA 2 located throughout the upper portion of the Property and within proposed future conservation area within SL 6 (June 3, 2020)

3.2.4 Disturbed Areas

Areas disturbed by human activity generally occur along the roadway access and the future residence. These areas were impacted by the excavation and blasting approved under the ESDP and are still underway at the time of writing. There is evidence of encroachment of non-native and invasive species within and along the fringe of the disturbed areas, including knapweed (Centaurea spp.), mullein (Verbascum thapsus), cheatgrass (Bromus tectorum), and Russian thistle (Salsola kali). It is important to note that a bank swallow nesting colony became established within the cut slope associated with the constructed access roadway following the initial disturbance. This colony has been identified by the RDOS and the CWS and the importance of retaining the habitat feature has been conveyed to the Proponent and the contractors completing the work. The retention of this feature is described further below.



Photo 3.3 View of the site entrance from the eastern edge of Highway 3. Note the presence of cavities in the exposed cut bank which are associated with a colony of bank swallows (June 3, 2020)

3.3 Wildlife and Habitat Observations

Animal species observed during the 2012 and 2020 site assessments include bird species such as California quail (*Callipepla californica*), red-tailed hawk (*Buteo jamaicensis*), western bluebird (*Siala mexicana*), vesper sparrow (*Pooecetes gramineus*), mourning dove (*Columba macroura*), Clark's nutcracker (*Nucifraga columbiana*), western meadowlark (*Sturnella neglecta*), black-billed magpie (*Pica pica*), bank swallow (*Riparia riparia*), and American kestrel (*Falco sparverius*). Scat of mammal species such as deer (*Odocoileus spp.*) and coyote (*Canis latrans*) were documented during the 2014 field visit and an unknown species of rabbit was observed during the 2020 field visit. No reptiles were observed during surveys; however, tracks of an unidentified reptile were observed during the 2020 site visit.

Sites of wildlife habitat value within the Property include riparian communities, areas associated with antelopebrush, insect and mammal burrows. Trees with wildlife value, including veteran trees were observed during the surveys, and provide opportunity for bird and small mammal nesting and roosting. Opportunities for ground nesting bird species were also abundant, within low herbaceous vegetation as well as shrub and riparian vegetation communities. Sparsely vegetated areas and rock outcrops provide potential for reptiles, as well as small mammal denning and shelter.

Cutbanks created during initial works on the driveway have created an opportunity for bank swallows to nest, and a colony was observed to have formed during the 2020 site visit.



3.3.1 Species and Ecosystems at Risk

The Environmental Sustainability and Strategic Policy Division of the provincial Ministry of Environment, along with the BC CDC collect and disseminate information on plants, animals and ecological communities (ecosystems) in British Columbia. The CDC assigns species and ecosystems to one of three lists (Red, Blue or Yellow), depending upon their assessed provincial status and management priority. This information is compiled and maintained in a database that provides a centralized source on the status, location and recommended level of protection for both species and ecosystems. The CDC's publicly-accessible database search program: Species and Ecosystems Explorer, is used to generate lists of vertebrates, dragonflies, damselflies, tiger beetles, butterflies, non-marine molluscs, vascular plants, mosses, as well as ecosystems in British Columbia.

Species and ecosystems assigned on the provincial Red list are at risk, being endangered, threatened or extirpated. Where extirpated, they no longer occur in the wild in British Columbia, but may occur outside of the province. Endangered implies that species or ecosystems are facing imminent extirpation or extinction in BC. Threatened implies that species or ecosystems are likely to become endangered in BC unless the issues limiting their presence are remediated. Species and ecosystems assigned on the provincial Blue list are also at risk, defined as being of special concern in BC (formerly termed vulnerable). Species and ecosystems that are assigned on the provincial Yellow list are considered to be secure in BC and not at risk.

BC presently has no stand-alone protective species legislation. Red and Blue-listed species may be legally designated, or be candidates for legal designation, under the BC Wildlife Act and the Forest and Range Practices Act. Red and Blue-listed species and subspecies also have guidance policies for their protection as described in Develop with Care 2014: Environmental Guidelines for Urban and Rural Land Development in BC (MOE 2014). At risk species and ecosystems enhance an area's natural biodiversity. Guidelines, provided within Section Four: Environmentally Valuable Resources, address their protection through:

- Site planning & design;
- Buffer establishment;
- Protection / avoidance during development; and
- Enhancement / restoration of disturbed areas.

Prior to the site visits in 2012 and 2020, Ecora conducted a background review of the BC CDC Species and Ecosystems Explorer and the iMapBC web application to identify the Blue- and Red-listed plants, vertebrate and invertebrate species with potential to occur within or near the Property. The results of the query were based on the following parameters:

- Regional District Boundary (RDOS);
- Bunchgrass (BG) BEC Zone;
- Habitat Types: Forest, Grassland/Shrub, Rock/Sparsely Vegetated, Riparian; and
- Red and Blue-listed species.

The results of the query include 79 species, which are summarized in Appendix B. The large number of potential species, including insects, birds, plants, mammals, reptiles and amphibians, provides an indication of the sensitivity of habitats within the Bunchgrass Zone throughout the south Okanagan.

Figure 4 displays the results of a search of identified element occurrences (from the CDC), or their ranges, using the public iMapBC online database, based on an approximate one-kilometre radius surrounding the approximate



centre of the Property. The search included both publicly available and masked (confidential) records. The known occurrence records and/or population boundaries for species and ecosystems are identified in Table 3.3. below.

Table 3.3	Known Public Occurrences within 1 km of the approximate centre of the Prop	erty
-----------	--	------

English Name	Scientific Name	Class (English)	BC List
CDC Element Occurrences			
American Badger	Taxidea taxus	mammals	Red
Lewis's Woodpecker	Melanerpes lewisii	birds	Blue
Whited's Fissurewort	Sandbergia whitedii	plant	Blue
Antelope-brush / Needle-and-thread Grass	Purshia tridentata / Hesperostipa comata	ecosystems	Red
Big-Sagebrush / Bluebunch Wheatgrass	Artemisia tridentata / Pseudoroegneria spicata	ecosystems	Red
WSI Incidentals			
Bank Swallow	Riparia riparia	birds	Yellow

The search yielded two vertebrate and one species at risk and two ecosystems at risk documented in the vicinity of the Property (Figure 4.0).

The American badger element occurrence (Occurrence 74373) consists of a single 868,222-hectare polygon that depicts an estimated range boundary of the Okanagan-Boundary subpopulation, extending from the US border to the north end of Okanagan Lake. There is potentially suitable burrowing habitat within the Property along the natural hill slopes. However, the rural residential nature of the Property and the dense coniferous forest present suggests the likelihood of the Property sustaining a population of badgers is low. There were no burrows or signs of digging observed within the Property during the site visits.

The Lewis's Woodpecker element occurrence (Occurrence ID 7410) occurs north of the Property and represents and area where nesting has been documented to occur, with the last observation occurring in 2006. Critical Habitat (CH) attributes associated with this species include veteran trees that provide potential cavity nesting habitat and fruit-bearing shrubs suitable for foraging. These features, including the scattered mature trees, will be retained within the Property.

Whited's Fissurewort (Occurrence ID 7528) occurs southeast of the Property and represents observations of Whited's Fissurewort growing on dry, exposed sites along Highway 3 and in proximity to big sagebrush. The last documented observation occurred in 1995.

Two Ecosystem polygons Antelope-brush / Needle-and-thread Grass (Occurrence ID 48619) and Big Sagebrush / Bluebunch Wheatgrass (Occurrence ID 111712) have been documented as occurring across Highway 3 from the Property.

Federally identified Critical Habitat (CH) exists in within the Property for the following species:

- Western Tiger Salamander;
- Lewis's Woodpecker;
- Desert Nightsnake/Western Rattlesnake/Great Basin Gophersnake

Each of the recovery strategy documents must be reviewed during future development activities to ensure compliance and to avoid impacts to identified attributes of the CH.



The bank swallow colony has developed over time since the initial disturbance of the Property during the construction of the access roadway and the creation of vertical cut banks in the silty substrates, which are suitable for the cavity nesting species. To meet the MOTI turning radius guidelines along the access roadway, the existing cut bank will be extended further into the slope. Ecora has corresponded with RDOS and CWS on this matter and the Ecora engineers are aware of the need to re-create equivalent cut bank habitat in their designs. The construction will be completed in a field-fit manner under the direction of the geotechnical engineer and a Qualified Environmental Professional (QEP) to ensure equivalent cut bank habitat is provided following construction. Other mitigation measures to address the bank swallow presence are provided below.

3.4 Aquatic Habitats and Riparian Areas Assessment

There were no surface waterbodies observed within the Property boundary, although there are two ephemeral or historic watercourses that cross the Property and Bourguiba Creek occurs immediately south of the southern Property boundary. Given the ephemeral nature of Bourguiba Creek and other watercourses present, there is not expected to be fish present in any of the streams, though this has not been definitively determined by Ecora.

The gullies within the Property as well as Bourguiba Creek fall within the identified Riparian Assessment Area (RAA) outlined in the OCP. The previous EA concluded that none of the gullies or Bourguiba Creek meet the criteria of a stream, as defined by the Riparian Area Regulations (RAR) that were in place at the time the report was prepared, as none of them provided fish habitat, or contributed water to a fish bearing stream.

Following the 2020 site visit, it was determined that Bourguiba Creek falls within the definition of a stream, as there is potential to convey surface flows to downstream fish habitat (i.e., Osoyoos Lake). The Streamside Protection and Enhancement Area (SPEA) was determined pursuant to the Riparian Areas Protection Regulation (RAPR) and is shown in Figure 6. The RAA and SPEA for Bourguiba Creek do not overlap the Property and, as such, do not trigger the RAPR process. The proposed subdivision will not result in sterilised lots resulting from the SPEA, and so development is anticipated to be compliant with the RAPR.

3.5 Environmentally Sensitive Areas

The ESA identified during the original assessment range are comprised of Moderate (ESA 2) and Low (ESA 3). The rankings and polygons were not changed from the original EA. Figure 5 illustrates the results of the ESA value assignment to each of the ecosystem mapping polygons. The ESA definitions and summary of the mapped area, and percentage of the Property, are outlined in Table 3.44.

ESA Value	ESA Class / Value	Area (Ha)	% Property
1	High	0	0
2	Moderate	2.8	22
3	Low	9.8	78
4	Not sensitive	0	0
Total		12.6	100.0

Table 3.4	Environmentally	v Sensitive	Area	Coverage	within	the	Pro	pertv
	LINNOITHEIL	y Ochantive	Alca	Coverage	VVILIIII	uic	110	Jeity

The ESA 2 areas correspond to the gullies and draws within the Property (2% of the area) as well as areas of rocky outcrops and sparsely vegetated communities (20% of the Property). The draws provide areas for wildlife movement, as well as feeding habitat and nesting or shelter habitat for bird, reptile and mammal species. The sparsely vegetated and rocky areas provide habitat to many species at risk, as well as provides basking and denning opportunities for reptiles and small mammals. The remainder of the Property has been assigned as ESA



3, given the somewhat fragmented nature of the habitats, and the presence of invasive species, as well as limited connectivity to surrounding habitats.

4. Impact Assessment

The potential direct and/or indirect impacts resulting from potential future residential development following rezone and subdivision upon local plants, ecosystems and wildlife include:

- Loss and degradation of vegetation, including sensitive and at risk plants and ecosystems;
- Introduction and spread of invasive plants;
- Loss and degradation of soil;
- Loss of potential nesting, denning, and/or basking habitat or habitat features for sensitive species; and
- Changes to wildlife movement and or habitat use patterns, i.e., through visual and/or auditory disturbance;

The proposed development at this stage is subdivision only and therefore there is no development footprint to consider. The single family residence associated with SL 5 and the access roadway are all being constructed under the terms and conditions of the existing ESDP and following the recommendations of that report, including site restoration. It is understood that following re-zone and subdivision, each of the future Strata Lots will be developed with a sing-family residence, driveway, septic system, and landscaping. The potential spatial extents and timing of development is not known at this time. As such, each new development may trigger the RDOS ESDP process at the time of development. In each case, site specific measures can be implemented to ensure the development is consistent with the recommendations made in the original EA, this EA, and ESDP guidelines. Future activities with potential to adversely affect the local plants, ecosystems and wildlife include:

- Clearing, grubbing, and earthworks for house footprint and driveway access at each subdivided Strata Lot;
- Site servicing, including stormwater and sewer septic systems for each subdivided Strata Lot;
- Residential construction and paving of roads, and retaining walls; and
- Site remediation, including re-grading and hydroseeding.

A site plan showing the proposed SL subdivision is provided in Appendix A. There is no new disturbance footprint proposed at this time although the proposed lot layout and revised extents of the driveway access roadway were overlaid on the ESA mapping (Figure 6.0).

The riparian trigger (i.e., RAA) and setback (i.e., SPEA), pursuant to the RAPR, is also shown on Figure 6.0. As the 30 m RAA from the Bourguiba Creek channel does not overlap the Property, there is no trigger for the RAPR assessment. The proposed subdivision conforms to the RAPR and there will be no encroachment on the RAA or SPEA.

4.1 Environmental Effects

Potential environmental impacts may arise during the future residential construction activities described above. The avoidance and protection of identified environmental values within the Property will help mitigate potential adverse environmental impacts. Provincial best management practices (BMP) and other suitable mitigation measures must be incorporated into the development planning to avoid the following impacts:

- Release of fine sediments to adjacent natural habitats (i.e., Bourguiba Creek) during clearing, grading, stripping, hauling, and other onsite construction works.
- Improper handling, storage, or disposal of waste materials and/or construction debris that results in the release of deleterious substances to adjacent natural areas and causes subsequent negative impacts to wildlife and/or habitat.
- Spills or leaks of deleterious substances (e.g., fuel, oil, hydraulic fluid) to the environment as a result of improper storage, vehicle and equipment re-fueling, and/or poorly maintained equipment.
- Direct or indirect impacts to wildlife and wildlife habitat, including species at risk, important habitats, and other sensitive features (e.g., plants, burrows, nests, wildlife trees, etc.).
- Disturbance beyond the identified development limits that facilitates encroachment of non-native and invasive plant species, which degrades the ecological values of adjacent natural communities.

The Property is generally in a natural state with some disturbance from the previous land clearing and excavation for the single residence and access roadway, under the ESDP. The areas planned for future houses within each of the proposed SL appear to be suitable based on the ESA rankings and other observations. The proposed conservation SL will preserve the majority of the ESA 2 and sensitive features (riparian areas, rock outcrop) and maintain connectivity between Bourguiba Creek, the unnamed drainage to the north, and natural areas to the east.

Most of the areas within the Property that consist of natural and undeveloped lands that are labeled ESA 2 must be retained through the development. At this stage of this application site grading plans and vegetation to be removed has not been defined as the development will be developed on a lot by lot basis. Therefore, the Regional District of Central Okanagan should work with the developer to ensure that the following guidelines are enforced throughout future development.

4.2 Impact Summary

Overall, the Property is considered a suitable location for the proposed re-zone and subdivision from an environmental perspective as the additional 4 residences (besides the single-family residence already permitted) will occur within relatively lower ecologically valuable areas and maintain the majority of the natural setting (i.e., cluster development style). The proposed SL 6 will be dedicated to conservation and represents almost half of the Property area, including almost all of the designated ESA 2 areas. As such, the contribution to cumulative local and regional impacts is considered negligible, as long as the higher value rock outcrop, shrub-steppe, and riparian habitats are maintained or enhanced and mitigation practices are followed, as described below. Overall, the proposed development is considered reasonable for the Property for the following reasons:

- The 12.6 ha Property is roughly comprised of 2.8 ha of ESA 2 (22%), and 9.8 ha of ESA 3 (78%).
- Approximately 5.6 ha (SL 6) will be dedicated to conservation which represents 44% of the total Property area and includes 2.3 ha (82%) of the ESA 2 present within the Property.



- The proposed dedication of SL 6 representing almost half of the Property area to conservation will help avoid impacts to the ESA 2 areas and other sensitive features and will ensure effective protection of those environmental values in perpetuity.
- The proposed re-zone appears to be suitable for the Property based on the Regional Growth Strategy and support from the Area Director (pers. comm. with Proponent).
- The proposed subdivision density appears to be generally consistent with other development in the area, including at higher elevations along HWY 3 and nearby residential development along the west-facing slopes of Anarchist Mountain.
- The Proposed subdivision is compliant with the Riparian Areas Protection Regulation (RAPR) and does not result in conflicts with the proposed setback (i.e., SPEA and/or RAA).
- Ecora has been retained to provide environmental monitoring services under the current ESDP and will continue to monitor during future works.
- The current access roadway works avoid impacts to breeding birds and other wildlife by completing construction activities outside of the breeding bird window (i.e., September to March). Ecora confirmed that the bank swallow colony was vacant prior to works being undertaken in 2020.
- The future upgrades to the access roadway to meet the MOTI standards will be done under the direction of the Ecora geotechnical engineer and the QEP to ensure that the finished cut bank results in a similar spatial area of vertical exposed silt which will ensure a no-net-loss to available bank swallow habitat. Ecora will consult with CWS to ensure their biologists are in agreement with the proposed design and intended result.
- The current works being done under the ESDP will follow all the restoration guidelines provided in the original EA (2015) as well as the recommendations below. Restoration will be applied to all cut/fill slopes and other temporarily disturbed areas with topsoil, hydroseed, and native plantings, as required.
- Future development in each Strata Lot will follow the form and character guidelines developed by the Strata and will include low-maintenance landscaping (i.e., low water use, heat, and drought tolerant plants), native plants, efficient homes, and other features to reduce impacts to the environment
- As long as future development is conducted following the mitigation and recommendations provided in this report and adhering to the conditions of the ESDP and other pertinent legislations, regulations, and BMPs, the potential for adverse environmental impacts on environmentally sensitive areas will be appropriately mitigated. Additional site specific Environmental Management Plans (EMP) may be implemented as development plans for each lot are proposed or at the time of construction to address the RDOS ESDP guidelines, as required.

5. Mitigation and Recommendations

The following recommendations and mitigation strategies for proposed development within the Property are based on the current condition of the Property and results of the environmental sensitivity analysis. Recommendations are provided to reduce or avoid potential impacts and to maintain consistency with municipal and regional guidance documents and provincial Best Management Practices (BMP), as described in Develop



with Care: Environmental Guidelines for Urban and Rural Land Development in British Columbia (2014) and Keeping Nature in Our Future: A Biodiversity Conservation Strategy for the Okanagan (2012).

5.1 Environmental Monitoring

Ecora has been retained as the environmental monitor (EM) for the works being conducted under the ESDP, including the house construction and access road upgrades. Ecora will continue to provide EM services, including site inspections and documentation of compliance with BMPs, permit conditions, and other guidelines and recommendations. In the event that greater disturbance occurs due to unforeseen circumstances, the EM will recommend measures to protect or restore the natural integrity of the site.

- The EM will attend regular meetings, as required. The EM will conduct a site inspection on a minimum monthly basis during active or high-risk construction.
- The EM will be an appropriately qualified environmental professional (QEP) authorized to halt construction activities as deemed necessary to prevent harm to terrestrial, aquatic, or riparian resource values.
- A copy of this report describing mitigation measures and BMPs must be kept readily available at the site for reference while the work is being conducted. Copies of relevant permits and emergency contact information must also be kept on site and readily available.
- Summary monitoring reports will be submitted on a regular basis to the contractor and the RDOS. A final
 report will be generated upon the substantial completion of construction works summarizing the project
 activities and listing any deficiencies noted throughout the works.
- The EM will be responsible for conducting pre-construction wildlife surveys and/or salvage, as required based on the timing of works. Permits will be applied for as needed if salvage is required.
- The EM will work with the Proponent, Ecora engineer, and contractor to ensure the bank swallow nesting area is compensated for in an appropriate manner and consistent with the recommendations of this report and the expectations of the CWS.

5.2 Reduced Risk Timing Windows

There is a potential for disturbance to sensitive wildlife during works. As such, least-risk timing windows should be followed to reduce the potential to adversely affect wildlife directly or indirectly during the works. Least-risk windows for each species or wildlife group are discussed further below.

5.2.1 Birds

The breeding bird window for this part of the province generally occurs from March 15 to August 31, although there are species that may begin nesting earlier and finish nesting later. Guidelines for specific species or species groups will be determined using the Environment Canada general nesting periods of migratory birds in Canada (available: https://www.canada.ca/en/environment-climate-change/services/avoiding-harm-migratory-birds/general-nesting-periods.html) for the 'South Okanagan Basin' eco-district. According to this site, the least-risk window for birds is from September through February.



- To maintain compliance with the federal *Migratory Birds Convention Act*, all vegetation and ground disturbance for construction must be completed during the least-risk period. Vegetation clearing or removal activities that are proposed within the breeding bird window will require approval from the RDOS and/or CWS.
- If permission to clear trees or other vegetation outside of the least-risk period is granted, preconstruction surveys to identify active nests will be completed by the EM. Surveys will include searches for nests, snags, and cavities that may be used over multiple years or year-round.
- If active nests are found within the proposed disturbance footprint, construction may not continue in that area. The EM will establish and clearly delineate a buffer around the nest until such time that the EM can determine that nest has become inactive. The size of the buffer will depend on the species and nature of the surrounding habitat. Buffer sizes will generally follow provincial BMP guidelines or other accepted protocol (e.g., Environment Canada). In general, a minimum 20 m buffer will be established around songbird nests or other non-sensitive (i.e., not at risk) species.
- Wildlife trees with cavities, stick nests, or other active breeding habitats must be retained. Depending on the activity at the nest and species associated with it, the nest may be protected by the provincial Wildlife Act, the federal Migratory Birds Convention Act, and/or the Species at Risk Act. Recommended buffers for raptor nests are provided in Table 6 of the 2013 Guidelines for Raptor Conservation BMP. According to this document, raptor nests within rural areas should be protected with a no-disturbance buffer of 100 to 200 m, depending on the nature of the species (i.e., ability to co-exist). During the breeding season, an additional 100 m noise buffer is recommended.

5.2.2 Aquatic Resources

The riparian and aquatic communities must be conserved for the important benefits to wildlife habitat and diversity within the Property.

- Proposed alterations to Bourguiba Creek that have the potential for downstream and/or subsurface
 effects will be subject to the WSA. As such, additional assessment and/or permitting will be required
 at the time of development to ensure compliance with regulations.
- To maintain the integrity of Bourguiba Creek and other watercourses and associated riparian areas, a development buffer should be maintained, consistent with the RAPR. Where suitable, restoration of degraded riparian areas should be considered (e.g., planting native vegetation, removing invasive species).
- Stormwater drainage should be discharged to the stormwater system or to ground wherever possible and not to existing surface water features or watercourses.

5.2.3 Reptiles and Amphibians

Sensitive times for reptiles generally occur in the late spring during emergence from hibernacula and early fall during the return to hibernacula. The overwintering period is also a vulnerable time for reptiles. General mitigation measures that should be followed are provided in Guidelines for Amphibian and Reptile Conservation during Urban and Rural Development in British Columbia (2014).



- Suitable denning and foraging habitats were observed within the Property, including the rock outcrops, and other sparsely vegetated areas, open woodland, grassland, shrub-steppe, and riparian communities. These areas mostly occur within the proposed Strata Lot intended for conservation.
- Suitable habitats and cover (e.g., fractured rock outcrops, large woody debris, snags, herbaceous and shrub cover) should be retained to the extent possible.

5.3 Site Preparation

All mitigation measures must be in place and functioning as required prior to the initiation of construction activities. Mitigation measures must be maintained, repaired, replaced, or otherwise adapted as necessary to ensure appropriate protection of the natural environment.

- Staging, parking, storing of equipment, and stockpiling of materials must be within designated areas within the construction footprint and not encroaching beyond the disturbance limits associated with the construction project. Staging, parking, and storage areas must be situated at least 30 m from watercourses and drainage features, including stormwater features (i.e., catch basins).
- Phasing of construction activities will be utilized to reduce the amount of time soils remain exposed to
 erosion potential. Clearing, stripping, grubbing and other earthworks should be completed in as short a
 time period as possible.
- The completion of geotechnical surveys (e.g., boreholes, drilling, etc.) and other investigations that require ground or vegetation disturbance must avoid the sensitive ecosystems and features described in this report. Disturbance within areas identified as ESA 1 or 2 to be retained must be restored to natural conditions under the direction of the EM.

5.4 Plants and Ecosystems

The conservation of ESA 2 habitats is anticipated to maintain suitable habitat for potentially occurring rare plants and other important vegetation and ecosystem communities.

- The clearing and grubbing limits will be clearly identified in the field and there will be no-disturbance permitted beyond those limits. Impacts to native vegetation and soils beyond the project boundary must be avoided at all times.
- All existing native vegetation outside of the permitted disturbance footprint, including trees, snags, shrubs, grasses, and groundcover, must be retained. Soil disturbance must be limited to the disturbance footprint.
- Flagging or snow fencing will be used to clearly delineate the construction limits prior to the commencement of works. The EM will review the clearing limits with the contractor to ensure a common understanding of the works, the type of boundary marking used (flagging, fencing, or other), and to prevent encroachment beyond the identified disturbance footprint. Areas at risk of sediment and erosion related issues will be identified and silt fencing or other appropriate mitigation measures (e.g., filter fabric, ditches, berms, poly sheeting, sandbags, etc.) will be installed.
- All contractor vehicles and equipment will be operated or stored within the construction limits. All stockpiles and storage of other materials will occur within the construction limits.

5.5 Wildlife and Species at Risk

Important wildlife habitat, including nests, dens, burrows, wildlife trees, coarse woody debris, and other unique features (if any), will be identified by the EM prior to the initiation of construction works. Encroachment beyond the identified construction limits may not occur at any time.

- The bank swallow colony appears to have formed since the original disturbance (i.e., cut bank) for the access roadway. In other words, the original cut for the access road created habitat for the swallows that did not previously exists. As such, the approach to future upgrades of the access roadway is to ensure that whatever final design is determined, that the construction strives to create a similar amount of equivalent vertical, exposed silt bank. This will be done in a field-fit manner under the direction of the geotechnical engineer and a Qualified Environmental Professional (QEP) to ensure a no-net-loss of available habitat for the bank swallows.
- The identified CDC Element Occurrences, federally designated CH, and other identified values for species at risk must be reviewed and addressed at the time of development. This may include further inventory, assessment, and/or refinement of development plans based upon the identified habitat suitability and presence of habitat attributes, as defined in the recovery strategy documents for each species.
- Identified wildlife trees, including standing dead or partially dead trees (snags), trees with cavities, and/or trees with stick nests or other unique cover features for wildlife must be conserved. Construction works will be conducted within the least-risk window for breeding birds, described above and using the appropriate disturbance and noise buffers.
- If stick nests are found to occupied and/or active at the time of construction, no-disturbance buffers will be implemented surrounding the tree. The minimum recommended buffer for raptors with a moderately high tolerance to human activity in a rural setting is 100 m (MOE 2013). The noise buffer recommended during the breeding season (generally March to September) is an additional 100 m (200 m total buffer).
- Wildlife related BMPs and guidelines that should be followed during planning and construction include Best Management Practices for Bats in British Columbia (2016), Guidelines for Amphibian and Reptile Conservation during Urban and Rural Development in British Columbia (2014), and Guidelines for Raptor Conservation during Urban and Rural Land Development in British Columbia (2013), each of which is available at <u>http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wld/BMP/</u>.

5.6 Aquatic Resources

The riparian communities must be conserved for the important benefits to wildlife habitat and diversity within the Property. Any proposed disturbance to aquatic resources must be compensated for with restoration and/or enhancement efforts elsewhere within the Property.

- Surface waters (including wetlands and watercourses) within the Property are subject to the provincial WSA and/or the RAPR. As such, additional assessment and/or permitting may be required at the time of development to ensure compliance with these provincial regulations.
- For conceptual purposes, a 30 m setback has been shown in association with Bourguiba which represents the Riparian Assessment Area (RAA) trigger and may constitute works 'in and about a stream' as per the WSA (Figure 6). The prescribed 10 m SPEA associated with the creek is also shown. The current development plan does not conflict with these setbacks.

Stormwater drainage should be discharged to ground wherever possible and not to existing surface
water features or watercourses. Proposed stormwater outfalls will follow the guidelines and
recommendations provided in the provincial standards and best practices for instream works, as they
pertain to urban stormwater management.

5.7 Erosion and Sediment Control

Erosion and sediment control mitigation measures are based upon the Develop with Care series, Standards and Best Management Practices for Instream Works, and the Land Development Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Habitat (Chilibeck et al. 1993). The Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (ESCP) described below provides mitigation measures that must be followed throughout construction to protect environmentally sensitive habitats.

- Sediment-laden flows must not be conveyed directly towards Bourguiba Creek. If encountered, sediment-laden waters must be contained within the project site by conveying flows to a sediment trap, tank, or sump, which must be of sufficient capacity to collect waters and allow settling of fine materials prior to discharge.
- Erosion control measures must prevent any increase to the NTU/TSS background level of Bourguiba Creek. The maximum allowable instantaneous increase is 25 mg/L over background levels, when background is <250 mg/L or a 10% increase in TSS when background is >250 mg/L. Turbidity levels must also conform to standard guidelines:
 - During clear flow periods, induced turbidity should not exceed background levels by more than 8 NTU during any 24-hour period. For sediment inputs that last between 24 hours and 30 days the mean turbidity should not exceed background by more than 2 NTU.
 - During turbid flow periods, induced turbidity should not exceed background levels by more than 5 NTU at any time when background turbidity is between 8 and 50 NTU. When background exceeds 50 NTU, turbidity should not be increased by more than 10% of the measured background level at any one time.
- Construction activities must not be conducted during heavy rains to reduce the potential for conveying silt and other sediment beyond the construction limits and/or Property boundary. Exposed soils and stockpiles must be stabilized and covered using geotextile fabric, poly sheeting, tarps, or other suitable materials to reduce the potential for erosion and/or mobilization of sediment resulting from rainfall, seepage, or other sources of surface water flows. Exposed embankments shall be covered and stabilized immediately following stabilization.
- The implementation of mitigation measures will be discussed between the EM and contractor prior to the initiation of works to ensure a common understanding of methods of installation and expectations of effectiveness. The contractor shall inspect the mitigation measures daily and additional measures will be installed, maintained, and repaired or replaced as required using a field-fit, adaptive management approach.
- The ESCP will be followed as required to mitigate risks throughout construction works. The plan is based upon provincial BMPs and other specifications and includes the following principles:
 - Major earthworks will not be conducted during periods of heavy rain;
 - Natural drainage patterns will be maintained;



- Existing native vegetation outside of the development footprint will be retained;
- Stormwater and sediment-laden runoff must be directed away from exposed soils within the construction area;
- Sediment-laden water must not be directed to any surface water feature or other drainage system;
- Slopes must be stabilized as soon as possible following construction; and
- Other erosion and sediment control measures will be implemented, inspected, maintained, and/or replaced as required to provide appropriate mitigation.
- Silt fencing will be installed as directed by the EM along the construction limits to mitigate the risks associated with surface runoff and sediment transport and to provide a visual barrier delineating the disturbance boundary. Fencing will be staked into the ground and trenched a minimum of 10 cm to prevent flow underneath the fence, as per the manufacturer's specifications. Silt fencing will be monitored on a regular basis and any damages or areas where the integrity and function of the fencing have been compromised will be promptly repaired or replaced. It will remain in place until the completion of the project.
- The contractor must have the following erosion and sediment control measures readily available onsite:
 - Several rolls of non-woven geotextile fabric of various grades;
 - Several rolls of silt fencing with sufficient wooden stakes to allow for installation;
 - Tarps, poly sheeting; and
 - Clean drain rock.
- Other suitable erosion control measures may include: slope drains and interceptor ditches, berms, check dams, grass seeding, and mulch. Sediment control measures that may be employed include check dams, erosion control fabrics and logs, sumps and sediment traps, and rip-rap. Hay bales and straw must be certified weed free if they are to be used onsite.
- Stockpiled soils and fill material must be stored away (i.e., >30 m) from watercourses, ditches, and other aquatic habitats and must be covered with poly sheeting or tarps or surrounded with silt fencing to prevent sediment from being conveyed off-site, particularly during rain events. Stockpiled material must not be allowed to slough beyond the disturbance limits.
- All access roads must be kept clean and free of fine materials throughout construction works. Sediment
 accumulation upon the road surfaces must be removed (i.e., swept or scraped) on a regular basis and
 disposed of appropriately.
- The release of silt, sediment, sediment-laden water, or any other deleterious substances into any ditch, watercourse, ravine, or other drainage feature must be prevented at all times.

5.8 Equipment Maintenance and Fueling

The contractor will ensure all onsite equipment and machinery is in good operating condition, and free of leaks, excess oil, and grease. The contractor shall perform and record the daily inspections of all equipment, vehicles, and storage containers used on site for leaks, staining, or other signs of discharge.



- Vehicles and equipment must be serviced, inspected, and pressure washed off-site, prior to construction works to remove surface oil, grease, weed seeds, and other undesirable or deleterious materials.
- Fueling or vehicle maintenance must be conducted on impermeable (i.e., paved) surfaces and must be at least 30 m from any surface drainage or tributary channel.
- The contractor will ensure that fuel, oil, hydraulic fluid, and other hazardous or deleterious materials are stored at least 30 m away from any watercourse or surface water drainage. This includes tanks, barrels, drums, generators, and other equipment.
- The contractor will ensure all hydraulic machinery working within or directly adjacent to any watercourse or surface water drainage utilizes environmentally sensitive hydraulic fluids that are non-toxic to aquatic life and that are readily or inherently biodegradable.

5.9 Emergency Spill/Response

Spills of deleterious substances can be prevented through awareness of the potential for negative impact on aquatic habitats and with responsible housekeeping practices onsite. Maintenance of a clean site and the proper use, storage and disposal of deleterious liquids and their containers are important to mitigate the potentially harmful effects of spills and/or leaks. The following BMPs are adapted from the Standards and Best Practices for Instream Works (MOE and DFO 2014). Materials Safety Data Sheets (MSDS/SDS) for all potentially hazardous materials will be kept onsite during construction activities.

- Preventative measures the contractor will undertake to prevent spills from occurring include safe containment, labelling, and storage of all deleterious substances present onsite, securing stored hazardous or toxic materials to prevent vandalism or theft, disposing of used containers properly, and using appropriate personal protective equipment when handling, transporting, or disposing of hazardous or toxic substances.
- Stand-alone fuel tanks, generators, and other potential spill sources will be surrounded by an impervious berm designed to holdback 110% of the volume of the container materials.
- All spill events will be recorded and reported to the site supervisor and EM. In the event of a spill, the site supervisor (Contractor) will be immediately notified by workers onsite. The supervisor will then be responsible for contacting a mechanic (if necessary) and the EM.
- Spills shall be contained, absorbed, and disposed of in accordance with the regulations outlined in the provincial Environmental Management Act and using the following general steps:
 - Assess, monitor and prevent the hazard or threat;
 - Stabilize, contain, remove and clean up the hazard or threat;
 - Evacuate persons;
 - Recover and rehabilitate wildlife;
 - Restore wildlife habitat;
 - Take other steps to address the long term impacts resulting from the spill; and



- Report the spill event (within 48 hours). Reportable quantities are provided in the Spill Reporting Regulation of the *Environmental Management Act.*
- Copies of contact phone numbers for notification of all of the required authorities in the event of a spill/emergency response will be posted and clearly visible at the site.
- Spill containment kits will be kept in machines operating onsite or readily available during construction activities in case of the accidental release of a deleterious substance to the environment. Kits will generally include absorbent pads and/or socks, pillows, disposal bags, disposable gloves, and goggles.
- Any spills of a toxic substance shall be immediately reported to the Emergency Management BC's Emergency Coordination Centre 24-hour hotline at 1-800-663-3456. Reporting of spills should include the following information:
 - Name and phone number;
 - Location and time of the spill;
 - Type and quantity of the substance spilled;
 - Cause and effect of the spill;
 - Details of action taken or proposed;
 - Description of the spill location and the surrounding area.

5.10 Noxious Weed Control

As part of the maintenance of the site and prevention of ecological degradation, a noxious weed management plan is provided below. The intent of the weed management plan is to reduce the potential to spread noxious weeds within or beyond the construction site boundaries. Mitigation measures have been adapted from the Invasive Species Strategy for BC (ISCBC 2012) and the MFLNRO Invasive Plant Program Strategic Plan (2014). Other sources of information on the identification, management, and control of invasive species are available at the Okanagan and Similkameen Invasive Species Society (<u>http://www.oasiss.ca/</u>) and the RDOS Invasive Species Program (<u>http://www.rdos.bc.ca/departments/public-works/invasive-species-program/</u>).

- The basic principles of the weed management plan include:
 - Suppression of weed growth;
 - Prevention or suppression of weed seed production;
 - Reduction of weed seed reserves in the soil; and
 - Prevention or reduction of weed spread.
- Identification of existing weed populations and prevention of spread is the most efficient form of weed management. The EM will identify and delineate the extents of existing weed species of local or regional concern. The EM will inform and educate the contractor about the weed species and locations onsite. If necessary, weed infested areas will be delineated with flagging tape or snow fencing to prevent access.



- The EM will direct the removal of existing weeds (by hand pulling or digging) and disposal off-site, in accordance with provincial regulations. Species of management concern observed onsite include knapweed, cheatgrass, and Russian thistle. These species will be removed where they overlap with construction or restoration works.
- Areas where weed populations have been identified will not be used for excavation and placement of fill.
 If excavation of weed infested areas is required, the soils will be disposed of off-site.
- Pesticides, herbicides, or other chemical control measures must not be used on the Property. Hay bales and straw must be certified weed free if they are to be used onsite.
- The contractor will ensure that all equipment and vehicles are washed and free of weed seeds prior to mobilization and de-mobilization. Vehicles and equipment will not be stored, parked, or staged within weed infested areas. Contractor clothing will also be inspected daily for signs of weed seeds. If found, weed seeds must be disposed of in a contained refuse bin for off-site disposal.

5.11 Site Cleanup and Restoration

As there are no additional construction plans at this time, there are only general recommendations for restoration. Current works being conducted pursuant to the ESDP must continue to follow the recommendations of the original EA and associated memos and addendum. This includes restoration measures provided in those reports which will be reviewed and confirmed by the Ecora EM. General cleanup and restoration measures are provided below.

- Restoration measures will be implemented at all disturbed areas, including cut/fill slopes and other exposed soils. At a minimum, re-graded slopes must be stabilized and covered with a suitable hydroseed comprised of mulch, tackifier, and native grass seed. Restoration measures will be overseen by the EM and will include additional measures, as appropriate and based upon the final disturbance footprint and/or performance of the contractor.
- Grass seed mixes must be certified as Canada #1 Grade by Agriculture Canada to minimize the weed seed count. The seed mixture will include native species appropriate for the ecological conditions and will be reviewed and approved by the EM prior to application.
- Future development within the strata subdivision must follow form and character guidelines reviewed and approved by RDOS. These guidelines will include terms and conditions for the building designs, as well landscaping, which should include the use of low-maintenance and/or native species appropriate for the local climate and conditions. Landscaping must also follow the BC FireSmart guidelines.
- Restoration measures must be completed consistent with the recommendations of the 2016 EA report and the Addendum 1 report. Ecora understands that the Proponent provided security bonding for this work, which will be overseen and approved by the EM.



References

- BC Conservation Data Centre (BC CDC). 2015. BC Species and Ecosystems Explorer. B.C. Ministry of Environment. Victoria, BC. Available at: <u>http://a100.gov.bc.ca/pub/eswp/</u> (Accessed June 2019)
- BC Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations. 2014. Develop with Care 2014 Environmental Guidelines for Urban and Rural Land Development in British Columbia. http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wld/documents/bmp/devwithcare/
- BC Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations. 2014. Guidelines for Amphibian and Reptile Conservation during Urban and Rural Development in British Columbia.
- BC Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations. 2013. Guidelines for Raptor Conservation during Urban and Rural Land Development in British Columbia.
- BC Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations. 2014. Invasive Plant Program Strategic Plan 2014-2019. Range Branch.
- Chilibeck, B., C. Chislett, and G. Norris. 1993. Land Development Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Habitat. Habitat Management Division of the Department of Fisheries and Oceans and the Integrated Management Branch of the Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks. 129 pp.
- Regional District of Okanagan Similkameen. 2008. Terms of Reference for Professional Reports for Planning Services.
- Environment Canada (EC). 2014 General Nesting Periods of Migratory Birds in Canada. Retrieved from http://www.ec.gc.ca/paom-itmb/default.asp?lang=En&n=4F39A78F-1. Accessed June 2019.
- DataBC. 2013. Province of British Columbia's DataBC website (http://maps.gov.bc.ca/ess/sv/imapbc/)

Invasive Species Council of BC. 2012. Invasive Species Strategy for British Columbia.

- Iverson, K. and A. Haney. 2010. Refined and Updated Ecosystem Mapping for the South Okanagan and lower Similkameen Valley. Compendium of projects prepared for: Regional District of the Okanagan – Similkameen, South Okanagan – Similkameen Conservation Program, and Parks Canada. 37p.
- Iverson, K. and A. Haney. 2012. Terrestrial Ecosystem Mapping (TEM) of the South Okanagan and lower Similkameen Valley: refined and updated 2012.
- Iverson, K. and A. Haney. 2013. Element occurrences described through assessment of the iMapBC website (<u>https://maps.gov.bc.ca/ess/hm/imap4m/</u>). Accessed November, 2017.
- Lloyd, D., K. Angove, G. Hope, and C. Thompson. 1990. A Guide to Site Identification and Interpretation for the Kamloops Forest Region. Land Management Handbook No.23. BC Min. Forests. 399 pgs.
- Lloyd, D. et al. 2005. Site Classification for 52 Biogeoclimatic Units in the Southern Interior Forest Region; Draft. BC Min. Forests.
- Ministry of Environment (MOE). 2001. Ambient Water Quality Guidelines (Criteria) for Turbidity, Suspended and Benthic Sediments. Environmental Protection Division.
- Ministry of Environment (MOE). 2013. Guidelines for Raptor Conservation during Urban and Rural Land Development in British Columbia (2013). A companion document to Develop with Care 2012.



- Ministry of Environment (MOE). 2015. Okanagan Region Wildlife Timing Windows. Available at: <u>http://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content/environment/air-land-water/water/water-licensing-rights/working-around-water/regional-terms-conditions-timing-windows/okanagan-region-timing-windows</u> (Accessed November 2017).
- Polster, D., J. Cullington, T. Douglas, and T. Hooper. 2014. Develop with Care: Environmental Guidelines for Urban and Rural Land Development in British Columbia. Prepared for the BC Ministry of Environment.
 Victoria (BC). Section 165.04.01: 2016 Design Build Standard Specifications for Highway Construction; Vol. 1 of 2 (Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure 2016)
- Resources Inventory Committee (RIC). 1998. Standard for Terrestrial Ecosystem Mapping in British Columbia. Prepared by the Ecosystems Working Group, Terrestrial Ecosystems Task Force. 100p.
- Resource Inventory Standards Committee (RISC). 1999. Inventory Methods for Forest and Grassland Songbirds. Standards for Components of British Columbia's Biodiversity No. 15. Prepared for: Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks Resources Inventory Branch for the Terrestrial Ecosystems Task Force Resources Inventory Committee. March 16, 1999 Version 2.0
- South Okanagan Similkameen Conservation Program (SOSCP). 2012. Keeping Nature in Our Future: A Biodiversity Conservation Strategy for the South Okanagan Similkameen.

Figures

- Figure 1.0 Property Location
- Figure 2.0 Terrestrial Ecosystem Mapping/Sensitive Ecosystem Inventory
- Figure 3.0 Known CDC Element Occurrences
- Figure 4.0 Biodiversity Conservation Strategy
- Figure 5.0 Environmentally Sensitive Areas
- Figure 6.0 Impact Assessment Overview



SITE LOCATION





ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT STEINAR JOHNSEN DEVELOPMENT OSOYOOS, BC

Legend

- Digital Road Atlas Roads
- 20m TRIM Contour Lines
- Fresh Water Atlas Streams

RDOS Legal Parcels

Property Boundary

00 54330(

5434000



Aerial Imagery: RDOS GIS. Imagery Date: January 15, 2016



TERRESTRIAL ECOSYSTEM MAPPING & SENSITIVE ECOSYSTEM INVENTORY



324000



3000

ecora

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT STEINAR JOHNSEN DEVELOPMENT OSOYOOS, BC

Legend



References

Aerial Imagery: RDOS GIS. Imagery Date: January 15, 2016



Figure 2.0

Client: Johnsen Steinar

NAD 1983 UTM Zone 11N

KNOWN CDC ELEMENT OCCURRENCES



61039

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT STEINAR JOHNSEN DEVELOPMENT OSOYOOS, BC

Legend

5433200

5433000

	Digital Road Atlas Roads
	20m TRIM Contour Lines
	Fresh Water Atlas Streams
()	RDOS Legal Parcels
	Property Boundary
	250m Buffer of Property Boundary
Canadia	n Wildlife Service - Critical Habitat Data
	Desert Nightsnake; Western Rattlesnake; Western Tiger Salamander; Great Basin Gophersnake (Cover whole area)
111	Half-moon Hairstreak
	Lewis's Woodpecker
TT	Pallid Bat
Conserv	ration Data Centre Element Occurences
\square	American Badger
X	Antelope-brush / Needle-and-thread Grass
	Lewis's Woodpecker

References

Aerial Imagery: RDOS GIS. Imagery Date: January 15, 2016



BIODIVERSITY CONSERVATION STRATEGY





ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT **STEINAR JOHNSEN DEVELOPMENT** OSOYOOS, BC

Legend



4 = Low

References

Aerial Imagery: RDOS GIS. Imagery Date: January 15, 2016



5432800

5433000

5432600

ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE AREAS





ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT STEINAR JOHNSEN DEVELOPMENT OSOYOOS, BC

Legend

3000

543

2800



References

Aerial Imagery: RDOS GIS. Imagery Date: January 15, 2016



Figure 5.0

8

543

NAD 1983 UTM Zone 11N

IMPACT ASSESSMENT



ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT STEINAR JOHNSEN DEVELOPMENT OSOYOOS, BC

Legend

5433000

-		
	Digital Road Atlas Roads	 Cut
	20m TRIM Contour Lines	Driveway
	Fresh Water Atlas Streams	House
	Storm Pipes	Pool
	Limit of Grading	Shoulder
	Legal Lot Lines	Stockpile Site
(200	RDOS Legal Parcels	Stormwater
	Property Boundary	
	Approximate Current Swallow Location	
	Proposed Nest Relocation	
	Riparian Assessment Area	
	Streamside Protection and Enhancement Area (SPEA)	

References

Aerial Imagery: RDOS GIS. Imagery Date: January 15, 2016



Client: Steinar Johnsen NAD 1983 UTM Zone 11N

400

543

Figure 6.0

Drawn: MT Check: DT

Appendix A

Site Layout





Appendix B

Conservation Data Centre Query Results



Scientific Name	English Name	Classification Level	Name Category	Species Code	Class (English)) Global Statu	s Prov Status Prov Status R	eview Date Prov Status Cha	nge Date BC List Prov Wildlife Ac	t COSEWIC COS	EWIC Date COSEWIC Comments	SARA Schedule SARA Status S	ARA Date General Status Canada Mig	grator
Aeronautes saxatalis	White-throated Swift	Species	Vertebrate Animal	B-WTSW	birds	G5	S3S4B	Mar-15	Apr-15 Blue				4 - Secure (2005) Y	
Ammodramus savannarum	Grasshopper Sparrow	Species	Vertebrate Animal	B-GRSP	birds	G5	S1B	Apr-18	May-18 Red				4 - Secure (2005) Y	
Antrozous pallidus	Pallid Bat	Species	Vertebrate Animal	M-ANPA	mammals	G4	S2	Feb-15	Mar-13 Red	Threatened	Nov-10	1 Threatened	Jun-03 1 - At Risk (2005)	
Apodemia mormo	Mormon Metalmark	Species	Vertebrate Animal	R-CRHE-HE	insects	GSTS	\$152	Feb-20 Mar-17	Feb-20 Red May-17 Blue	Endangered	May-14	1 Endangered	Jan-U5 6 - Not Assessed (2000)	
Asio flammeus	Short-eared Owl	Species	Vertebrate Animal	B-SEOW	birds	G5	S3B,S2N	Mar-15	Jun-96 Blue	Special Concern	Mar-08	1 Special Concern	Jul-12 3 - Sensitive (2005)	
Athene cunicularia	Burrowing Owl	Species	Vertebrate Animal	B-BUOW	birds	G4	\$1B	Mar-15	Jun-98 Red Endangered	Endangered	Apr-17	1 Endangered	Jun-03 1 - At Risk (2005)	
Bartramia longicauda	Upland Sandpiper	Species	Vertebrate Animal	B-UPSA	birds	G5	S2B	Mar-15	Apr-15 Red				4 - Secure (2005) Y	
Botaurus lentiginosus	American Bittern	Species	Vertebrate Animal	B-AMBI	birds	G5	S3B, SNRN	Mar-15	Jun-98 Blue				4 - Secure (2005) Y	
Buteo lagopus	Rough-legged Hawk	Species	Vertebrate Animal	B-RLHA	birds	G5	S3N	Mar-15	Apr-15 Blue	Not at Risk	May-95		4 - Secure (2005)	
Buteo swainsoni	Swainson's Hawk	Species	Vertebrate Animal	B-SWHA	birds	G5	S2B	Mar-15	Jun-98 Red				4 - Secure (2005)	
Butorides virescens	Smith's Longsour	Species	Vertebrate Animal	B-GRHE	birds	GAGE	53548	Mar-15	Jun-98 Blue				4 - Secure (2005) Y	
Callophrys affinis	Immaculate Green Hairstreak	Species	Invertebrate Animal	LE-CALAFF	insects	G5	S2S3	Jan-20	Jan-20 Blue				6 - Not Assessed (2000)	
Catherpes mexicanus	Canyon Wren	Species	Vertebrate Animal	B-CAWR	birds	G5	\$3?	Mar-15	Apr-15 Blue	Not at Risk	May-92		3 - Sensitive (2005) Y	
Centrocercus urophasianus	Greater Sage-Grouse	Species	Vertebrate Animal	B-GSGR	birds	G3G4	SX	Mar-15	Jun-96 Red	Extirpated	Mar-08 Phaios subspecies	1 Extirpated	Jun-03 1 - At Risk (2005)	
Chondestes grammacus	Lark Sparrow	Species	Vertebrate Animal	B-LASP	birds	G5	S3S4B	Mar-15	Apr-15 Blue				4 - Secure (2005) Y	
Chrysemys picta pop. 2	Painted Turtle - Intermountain - Rocky Mountain Population	Population	Vertebrate Animal	R-CHPI-02	turtles	G5T2T3	\$3?	Mar-18	Mar-18 Blue	Special Concern	Nov-16	1 Special Concern	Dec-07	
Cicindela decemnotata	Badlands Tiger Beetle	Species	Invertebrate Animal	CO-CICDEC	insects	G4G5	\$1\$3	Mar-17	Mar-17 Red				4 - Secure (2005)	
Cicindela pugetana	Sagebrush Liger Beetle	Species	Vertebrate Animal	E-VECU	insects	G4 G5	5354 SVB	Mar-17 Mar-15	Mar-17 Blue				3 - Sensitive (2005)	
Coluber constrictor	North American Bacer	Species	Vertebrate Animal	B-COCO	reptiles	65	\$2\$3	Mar-18	Mar-18 Blue	Threatened	Nov-15 Status applied to Coluber constrictor mormon	1 Special Concern	Aug-06 3 - Sensitive (2005)	
Corynorhinus townsendii	Townsend's Big-eared Bat	Species	Vertebrate Animal	M-COTO	mammals	G4	\$3\$4	Feb-15	Apr-15 Blue				2 - May be at risk (2005)	
Crotalus oreganus	Western Rattlesnake	Species	Vertebrate Animal	R-CROR	reptiles	G5	S2S3	Mar-18	Mar-18 Blue	Threatened	May-15	1 Threatened	Jul-05 3 - Sensitive (2005)	
Cypseloides niger	Black Swift	Species	Vertebrate Animal	B-BLSW	birds	G4	S2S3B	Mar-15	Apr-15 Blue	Endangered	May-15	1 Endangered	May-19 4 - Secure (2005) Y	
Danaus plexippus	Monarch	Species	Invertebrate Animal	LE-DANPLE	insects	G4	S1?B	Feb-20	Feb-20 Red	Endangered	Nov-16	1 Special Concern	Jun-03 6 - Not Assessed (2000)	
Dolichonyx oryzivorus	Bobolink	Species	Vertebrate Animal	B-BOBO	birds	G5	S3B	Mar-15	Jun-98 Blue	Threatened	Apr-10	1 Threatened	Nov-17 4 - Secure (2005) Y	
Dryobates albolarvatus	White-headed Woodpecker	Species	Vertebrate Animal	B-WHWO	birds	G4	S1	Mar-15	Oct-00 Red	Endangered	Nov-10	1 Endangered	Jun-03 1 - At Risk (2005) Y	
Empidonax wrightii	Gray Flycatcher	Species	Vertebrate Animal	B-GRFL	birds	G5 CETA	S3B	Mar-15	Sep-01 Blue	Not at Risk	May-92		3 - Sensitive (2005) Y	
Eremophila alpestris merrilli. Euderma maculatum	Spotted Bat	Species	Vertebrate Animal	M-FUMA	mammals	G314 G4	\$354	Feb-15	Feb-03 Blue	Special Concern	Nov-14	1 Special Concern	Jul-05_3 - Sensitive (2005)	
Euphagus carolinus	Rusty Blackbird	Species	Vertebrate Animal	B-RUBL	birds	G4	S3S4B	Mar-15	Nov-05 Blue	Special Concern	Apr-17	1 Special Concern	Mar-09 3 - Sensitive (2005)	
Falco mexicanus	Prairie Falcon	Species	Vertebrate Animal	B-PRFA	birds	G5	S1	Apr-18	Apr-18 Red	Not at Risk	May-96		3 - Sensitive (2005)	
Falco peregrinus anatum	Peregrine Falcon, anatum subspecies	Subspecies	Vertebrate Animal	B-PEFA-AN	birds	G4T4	S2?	Jan-11	Jan-11 Red	Not at Risk	Dec-17	1 Special Concern	Jun-12	
Falco rusticolus	Gyrfalcon	Species	Vertebrate Animal	B-GYRF	birds	G5	S3S4B, SNRN	Mar-15	Nov-05 Blue	Not at Risk	May-87		4 - Secure (2005)	
Hesperia nevada	Nevada Skipper	Species	Invertebrate Animal	LE-HESNEV	insects	G5	\$3\$4	Feb-20	Nov-06 Blue				6 - Not Assessed (2000)	
Hirundo rustica	Barn Swallow	Species	Vertebrate Animal	B-BASW	birds	G5	S3S4B	Mar-15	Nov-05 Blue	Threatened	May-11	1 Threatened	Nov-17 4 - Secure (2005) Y	
Hydroprogne caspia	Caspian Tern	Species	Vertebrate Animal	B-LATE B-LIVCH	Dirds	GS	538	Mar-19	Jun-96 Blue Mar-18 Red	NOT at RISK	May-99	1 Endangered	3 - Sensitive (2005) 1	
Icteria virens	Yellow-breasted Chat	Species	Vertebrate Animal	B-YBCH	birds	G5	52 S2B	Mar-18	Apr-18 Red	Endangered	Nov-11	1 Endangered	Jun-03 4 - Secure (2005) Y	
Larus californicus	California Gull	Species	Vertebrate Animal	B-CAGU	birds	G5	S2S3B	Mar-15	Apr-15 Blue				4 - Secure (2005) Y	
Lepus townsendii	White-tailed Jackrabbit	Species	Vertebrate Animal	M-LETO	mammals	G5	SX	Feb-15	Apr-15 Red				4 - Secure (2005)	
Limenitis archippus	Viceroy	Species	Invertebrate Animal	LE-LIMARC	insects	G5	SX	Jan-20	Dec-99 Red				6 - Not Assessed (2000)	
Limnodromus griseus	Short-billed Dowitcher	Species	Vertebrate Animal	B-SBDO	birds	G5	S2S3B	Mar-15	Jan-12 Blue				4 - Secure (2005) Y	
Lycaena nivalis	Lilac-bordered Copper	Species	Invertebrate Animal	LE-LYCNIV	insects	G5	\$3	Feb-20	Dec-99 Blue				6 - Not Assessed (2000)	
Melanorpos Jowis	Western Screech-Owl, macfarlaner subspecies	Subspecies	Vertebrate Animal	B-WSOW-MA	birds	G4G514	53	Mar-17 Mar-15	May-17 Blue	Threatened	May-12	1 Threatened	Jan-U5	
Melanitta perspicillata	Surf Scoter	Species	Vertebrate Animal	B-SUSC	birds	G5	S3B,S4N	Mar-15	Mar-00 Blue	Intellettered	April	1 Inteatened	4 - Secure (2005) Y	
Myotis ciliolabrum	Western Small-footed Myotis	Species	Vertebrate Animal	M-MYCI	mammals	G5	\$2\$3	Feb-15	Nov-95 Blue				3 - Sensitive (2005)	
Myotis thysanodes	Fringed Myotis	Species	Vertebrate Animal	M-MYTH	mammals	G4	S3	Feb-15	Mar-13 Blue	Data Deficient	May-04	3	Mar-05 2 - May be at risk (2005)	
Numenius americanus	Long-billed Curlew	Species	Vertebrate Animal	B-LBCU	birds	G5	S3B	Jan-18	Jun-96 Blue	Special Concern	May-11	1 Special Concern	Jan-05 3 - Sensitive (2005) Y	
Nycticorax nycticorax	Black-crowned Night-heron	Species	Vertebrate Animal	B-BCNH	birds	G5	S1	Mar-15	Jan-13 Red				4 - Secure (2005) Y	
<u>Oeneis jutta chermocki</u>	Jutta Arctic, chermocki subspecies	Subspecies	Invertebrate Animal	LE-OENJUT-CH	insects	G5T4Q	\$3	Mar-13	Nov-06 Blue				4 (2005)	
Oreascontos montanus	Mountain Goat	Species	Vertebrate Animal	M-ORAM	mammais	G5 G4	53	Feb-15 Mar-15	Apr-15 Blue	Endangered	Nov-10	1 Endangered	4 - Secure (2005)	
Ovis canadensis	Bighorn Sheep	Species	Vertebrate Animal	M-OVCA	mammals	G4 G4	532	Feb-15	Apr-15 Blue	Linguigeren	100-10	I Lindangered	4 - Secure (2005)	
Perognathus parvus	Columbia Plateau Pocket Mouse	Species	Vertebrate Animal	M-PEPA	mammals	G5	\$3	Feb-15	Apr-15 Blue				2 - May be at risk (2005)	
Phalaropus lobatus	Red-necked Phalarope	Species	Vertebrate Animal	B-RNPL	birds	G4G5	S3S4B	Mar-15	Jun-96 Blue	Special Concern	Nov-14		4 - Secure (2005) Y	
Phrynosoma douglasii	Pygmy Short-horned Lizard	Species	Vertebrate Animal	R-PHDO	reptiles	G5	SX	Mar-18	Sep-00 Red	Extirpated	Dec-18	1 Extinct	Jun-03 .2 - Extinct (2005)	
Pituophis catenifer deserticola	Gopher Snake, deserticola subspecies	Subspecies	Vertebrate Animal	R-PICA-DE	reptiles	G5T5	\$3	Mar-18	Mar-18 Blue	Threatened	Apr-13	1 Threatened	Jan-05	
Plestiodon skiltonianus	Western Skink	Species	Vertebrate Animal	R-PLSK	reptiles	G5	\$3\$4	Mar-18	Mar-18 Blue	Special Concern	Nov-14	1 Special Concern	Jan-05 3 - Sensitive (2005)	
Pluvialis dominica Palitas sabulati	American Golden-Plover	Species	Vertebrate Animal	B-AGPL	birds	G5	\$3\$4B	Mar-15	Jun-98 Blue				3 - Sensitive (2005) Y	
Polites sabuleti	Sandniii Skipper	Species	Invertebrate Animal	LE-POLSAB	insects	G5 G4	52	Feb-20 Feb-20	Jan-07 Red Feb-20 Blue	Not at Risk	Nov-16	1 Special Concern	6 - Not Assessed (2000)	
Psiloscops flammeolus	Flammulated Owl	Species	Vertebrate Animal	B-FLOW	birds	G4 G4	S3B	Mar-15	Apr-15 Blue	Special Concern	Apr-10	1 Special Concern	Jun-03 3 - Sensitive (2005)	
Pyrgus communis	Checkered Skipper	Species	Invertebrate Animal	LE-PYRCOM	insects	G5	S3	Feb-20	Oct-01 Blue				6 - Not Assessed (2000)	
Reithrodontomys megalotis	Western Harvest Mouse	Species	Vertebrate Animal	M-REME	mammals	G5	S3	Feb-15	Apr-15 Blue	Endangered	Nov-19 Megalotis subspecies.	1 Special Concern	Mar-09 2 - May be at risk (2005)	
Satyrium behrii	Behr's Hairstreak	Species	Invertebrate Animal	LE-SATBEH	insects	G5	S1	Feb-20	Jan-07 Red	Endangered	May-12	1 Endangered	Jun-03 6 - Not Assessed (2000)	
Satyrium californica	California Hairstreak	Species	Invertebrate Animal	LE-SATCAL	insects	G5	S3	Feb-20	Dec-99 Blue				6 - Not Assessed (2000)	
Satyrium semiluna	Hait-moon Hairstreak	Species	Invertebrate Animal	LE-SATSEM	insects	G4	51	Feb-20	Dec-99 Red	Endangered	Apr-U6	1 Endangered	Dec-07 6 - Not Assessed (2000)	
Sorex preblei	Proble's Shrew	Species	Vertebrate Animal	M-SOPP	mammals	G4 G4	51 5157	Feb-15	sep.01 Red				2 - May be at risk (2005) 2 - May be at risk (2005)	
Spea intermontana	Great Basin Spadefoot	Species	Vertebrate Animal	A-SPIN	amphibians	G5	53	Mar-18	Jun-96 Blue	Threatened	Nov-19	1 Threatened	Jun-03 1 - At Risk (2005)	
Speyeria mormonia erinna	Mormon Fritillary, erinna subspecies	Subspecies	Invertebrate Animal	LE-SPEMOR-ER	insects	G5T4	\$1\$2	Mar-13	Jan-07 Red					
Spizella breweri breweri	Brewer's Sparrow, breweri subspecies	Subspecies	Vertebrate Animal	B-BRSP-BR	birds	G5T5	S2S3B	Apr-18	Apr-18 Blue					
Sylvilagus nuttallii	Nuttall's Cottontail	Species	Vertebrate Animal	M-SYNU	mammals	G5	S3	Feb-15	Nov-95 Blue	Special Concern	Nov-16 Nuttallii Subspecies	1 Special Concern	Dec-07 4 - Secure (2005)	
Taxidea taxus	American Badger	Species	Vertebrate Animal	M-TATA	mammals	G5	S2	Feb-15	Apr-15 Red	Endangered	Nov-12	1 Endangered	Jun-18 3 - Sensitive (2005)	
Tympanuchus phasianellus columbianus	Sharp-tailed Grouse, columbianus subspecies	Subspecies	Vertebrate Animal	B-STGR-CO	birds	G5T3	\$2\$3	Nov-05	Oct-00 Blue					
	Barn Uwl	species	verteprate Animal	R-BNOM	DIFOS	65	52?	Mar-15	Apr-15 Ked	inreatened	NOV-1U	1 Threatened	Jun-18 3 - Sensitive (2005)	

Search Criteria Animals AND BC Conservation Status:Red (Extirpated, Endangered, or Threatened) OR Blue (Special Concern) AND Brithurion: Native AND Habitat Types: Forest,Grassland/Shrub,Riparian,Rock/Sparsely Vegetated Rock AND BGC Zone: Sort Order-Scientific Name Ascending Open Government License— BC

ry Bird Convention Act	Origin	Presence	Breeding Bird	Endemic	CDC Maps
	Native	Regularly occurring	Y	N	w
	Native	Regularly occurring	Y	N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring		N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring	v	N	r v
	Native	Regularly occurring	Y	N	Y Y
	Native	Regularly occurring	Y	N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring	Υ	N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring	Y	N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring	N	N	N
	Native	Regularly occurring	Y V	N	Y V
	Native	Regularly occurring	Y	N	w
	Native	Regularly occurring		N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring	Y	N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring	Υ	N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring	Y	N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring		N	Y V
	Native	Regularly occurring		N	Y Y
	Native	Regularly occurring	Y	N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring		N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring		N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring		N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring	Ŷ	N	N
	Native	Regularly occurring	Y	N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring	Y	N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring	Y	N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring	Υ	N	w
	Native	Regularly occurring	v	N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring	Y Y	N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring	Y	N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring	Υ	N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring		N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring	Y V	N	N
	Native	Regularly occurring		N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring	Y	N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring	Υ	N	w
	Native	Regularly occurring		N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring	Y	N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring		N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring	Y	N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring	Y	N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring	Ť	N	r v
	Native	Regularly occurring		N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring	Y	N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring	Υ	N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring		N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring	Y	N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring		N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring		N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring	Υ	N	w
	Native	Regularly occurring		N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring		N	Y V
	Native	Regularly occurring	Y	N	w
	Native	Regularly occurring		N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring		Ν	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring	Y	N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring		N	Y Y
	Native	Regularly occurring		N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring		N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring		N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring		N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring		N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring		N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring	Y	N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring		N	Y
	Native	Regularly occurring	Y	N	Y Y
	. wa ave				•