PROPERTY DESCRIPTION:	对外的特别的	HILL STREET, S	
Civic address: 268 Creekview Rd Apex	continued of the control of the cont	TIL Math Steet	THE THE PLANT
Legal Description Lot: 4 Plan: KAP83847 Block: Dist	rict Lot: 395 S	LD.54 PID 027-087- Section: Towns	
Current Zoning: RD2	OCP designation:	: low density res	idential
Current land use: Bare Land		ngorovso _k	egody a siling
13 1 1		er emerciane and brussian exercises of few flow collection	
Current method of sewerage disposal:	inity Sewer	Septic Tank	Other
Current method of water supply: Community Wat	ter	. Well	Other
Any restrictive covenants registered on the subject pro	perty:	Yes (if yes, provide det	ails) No
Any registered easements or rights-of-ways over the su	bject property:	Yes (if yes, provide det	ails) No
Does the subject property possess a legal road access:		Yes No (if no	, provide details)
Agricultural Land Reserve: Yes No	Riparian Area:	Yes Yo	City/Leteria
Environmentally Sensitive: Yes Vo	MoT Approval: (required for setbac	Yes No	d reserve)
Provide a description of the proposed development (ple Side by Side Duplex		parate sheet, as required):	Eparament in a
REQUESTED VARIANCE(S):			
List all requested variances to the regulations in bylaws the applicable drawings. A variance cannot be consider	and the Art of the State of the State of		d be marked on
1. Bylaw (Include No.): 12.5-6 a) i) se Proposed variance: 7.5m front setback to obtain most structure at 4.5	to be reduce		m
Bylaw (Include No.): Se Proposed variance:	ction:		m lancet sq. and
the end Suzzepor of a way a like incommittee will be used to be a like in a like in the li	or out to pro- remember out pro- chair force one in- proportionally by most	es and officials are as 194 Community of the years and the post of the	colont term of microstop oddar galantemagne galantemagne

1	new development should meet the Regional District's applicable bylaw standards. A variance is considere y as a <u>last resort</u> . An application for a development variance permit should meet most, if not all, of th owing criteria, in order to be considered for approval (please attach as a separate sheet, as required).
	The variance should not defeat the intent of the bylaw standard or significantly depart from the planning principle or objective intended by the bylaw. Please elaborate how the requested variance meets this criteria:
	See attacled short
	The extremal and a second resolution of the extremal and the second resolution of the second res
	The variance should not adversely affect adjacent or nearby properties or public lands. Please elaborate ho the requested variance meets this criteria:
	see attacked sheet
	dis transit that the first of t
	i region de monte de la companya de
	The second of th
	elaborate how the requested variance meets this criteria:
	In quipes and rights to grow in the contract of the second
	The variance represents the best solution for the proposed development after all other options have been solution for the proposed development after all other options have been solutions.
	The variance represents the best solution for the proposed development after all other options have be considered. Please elaborate how the requested variance meets this criteria:
	The variance represents the best solution for the proposed development after all other options have be considered. Please elaborate how the requested variance meets this criteria:
	The variance represents the best solution for the proposed development after all other options have beconsidered. Please elaborate how the requested variance meets this criteria:
	The variance represents the best solution for the proposed development after all other options have been considered. Please elaborate how the requested variance meets this criteria:
	The variance represents the best solution for the proposed development after all other options have been considered. Please elaborate how the requested variance meets this criteria: See attacked attacked attacked. The variance should not negatively affect the natural site characteristics or environmental qualities of the considered.
	The variance represents the best solution for the proposed development after all other options have been considered. Please elaborate how the requested variance meets this criteria: See attacked ghest The variance should not negatively affect the natural site characteristics or environmental qualities of the property. Please elaborate how the requested variance meets this criteria:

SUPPORTING RATIONALE:

Development Variance Permit Application for 268 Creekview Rd, Apex

Bylaw: 12.5.6. a) i) minimum front setback

Variance Request: 7.5m front setback to be reduced to 4.5m

We are hoping to build a side-by-side duplex that meets step code level 5 and adheres to some passive house principles. To achieve this, building geometry becomes significantly important. The fewer outside corners (the more box-like), the more energy-efficient the building will be. Due to the skewed geometry of the site, a straight box is not an easy fit. We are proposing a building footprint with 6 outside corners to best use the site and best achieve an energy-efficient building shape.

Also, due to the skewed geometry of the site, it is harder to maximize the potential building footprint area, resulting in smaller units. The proposed site plan allows for 2 small units. Over 3 floors (top floor ½ loft), the smaller unit will be:

21' x 32' for approx 1458 sq ft + 222 sq ft.garage = 1680 sq ft. total including all floor area, stairwells, basement and garage

Over 3 full floors, the larger unit will be:

21' x 39' for approx 2235 sq ft + 222 sq ft garage = 2457 sq ft total including all floor area, stairwells, basement and garage

Due to the steeper slope at the rear of the site, it is more practical to keep the building forward on the site, adhering to the rear and side set-backs and only altering the front setback.

There will be 4 parking spaces total - 2 off-street parking spaces per side of the duplex. One in each enclosed single car garage and one in each driveway in front of the garage door. There will remain ample space on site for snow storage with the proposed single driveway being approx 22' wide = @ 1/2 the width of the front property line.

- 1. The variance does not defeat the intent of the bylaw because we are respecting the setbacks as much as possible to allow for a modest sized duplex on a skewed lot.
- The variance should not adversely affect nearby properties or public lands because rear and side setbacks are maintained, parking is contained on site and ample room remains set-aside on site for snow storage.
- 3. The variance should be considered as a unique solution because it places a reasonably sized, reasonably shaped building onto an unusually shaped available building area.
- 4. The variance represents the best solution for the proposed development after all other options have been considered. The size, shape and location of the proposed building is the best reasonable use of space, fit in the neighbourhood, protection of the natural site and energy efficiency (climate protection) moving forward.
- 5. The variance should not negatively affect the natural site characteristics or environmental qualities of the property as we are building with the natural slope of the site and we are avoiding altering the steeper slope at the back of the site.