Ben Kent, MPL Planner II

RDOS 101 Martin St.

Penticton BC

## RE: Application Incomplete - TUP - 2041 Carmi Road | File No. D2024.001-TUP

I am writing this letter as the rationale as requested in your email dated January 18<sup>th</sup>, 2024. It was brought to my attention in this email that a rationale is to be provided for the request of the temporary use permit. I would like to point out at this time that I had assistance in filling this application out by colin Martin who is employed with the RDOS, and it was not mentioned at the time of the application that a rationale was to be included. Please accept this letter as my rationale for my application.

I have applied for this application due to the fact that last year it was brought to my attention that I was not in compliance with the bylaws, since the receiving the letter explaining how to bring my property into compliance, I have spent countless dollars and months removing things from my property all in an effort to bring it in to compliance. I have since received a permit to start dirt work on the property to in the near future begin building. To apply for said permit for the dirt work I completed the required environmental inspection and report and was then awarded a permit to being work clearing the property to prepare for building. During this preparation I will require not only equipment on the property - my skid steer in particular, but also, I require a place to store materials and tools. During the past year, as stated we have been removing articles firm the property at the request of the RDOS to bring it in to compliance, and as such we removed our storage sea cans where I was storing all my materials. At this time, we have a non-temporary structure accessory building that I am using as storage while making preparations to build. I do not have the funds to re-purchase another storage container as I had to sell the others at the request of the RDOS. I am asking to keep this non-permanent structure as a storage structure while we build as it is already on the property and can be utilized without extra costs to me. Further, the reason for the temporary use permit was because the non-permanent structure is slightly bigger than the allotted 10 x 10 allotment. Because of this I have had to spend \$1,200.00 and another \$400.00 for the signage, as well as the amount paid for the fines and the permit for dirt work.

During the application process I was advised by the staff at RDOS on how to fill out the application and what the signage needs to reflect. If there is an issue with the sign, I do not intent to spend another \$400.00 on another sign when clearly wrong information was conveyed to me, and as a laymen I expect that professionals working in the field and assisting with the applications would be able to provide the correct information. I am making this application for the use of a non-permanent storage structure.

It is my hope that this letter explains the rationale. I have been working hard to bring the property into compliance, and I feel that the RDOS has taken advantage of a laymen who is just trying to work towards building on the property. My late husband and I purchased this property with the intention of building but after his passing and my health issues I have not been able to fulfil our dream. I am finally well enough and able to continue what my husband and I started and during this process I feel attacked. I have been working with individuals at the RDOS that have not been kind even though I am working hard to bring everything into compliance. I hope that this letter helps assist you in making your decision and further helps you understand my position.

Yours Truly,

Lorena Mangel