

September 29, 2021

Theresa Nolet

Okanagan Falls, B.C. V0H 1R0

R. Obirek, Electoral Area D Director:

Good day I am writing in response to the proposed development at 5204 9th Avenue, Okanagan Falls of a three storey, multi dwelling residential building.

I am opposed to the variance of all three proposals for the following reasons.

If the builder is allowed to have 0.0 metres front parcel set back from the hiway, what happens in the future if the hiway needs to be widened? With a building so close to the hiway that will be a problem would it not?

If the off-street parking is reduced to one vehicle per dwelling, then I know exactly what will happen, those people who want to visit the person etc. will end up parking in the parking lots across the street which are owned by businesses like myself and we then have to deal with losing our paying customers who drive by because our parking lots are full. We are already faced with having people think that our parking lots are there for their personal use, to leave their vehicles in while they walk their dogs etc. We have had people park their cars with for sale signs on them because they think it is a good spot for advertising etc.

If the back lane is used as the turn around for the resident's cars that live in the building, then the lane way will become overused and who will pay to maintain it? The building owner/ the residents of the building or the tax payers. Or will it even be considered for maintenance?

I have not seen the plans for this building but I can tell by the variances asked for they intend for the building to occupy most of the land. So, no I am not in favour of allowing these variances to be approved.

Theresa Nolet and Gerald Desjardins

Owners of Jardin Antiques

RECEIVED
Regional District

SEP 29 2021

101 Martin Street
Penticton BC V2A 5J9